BEFORE THE
TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE
OF THE
INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: FEBRUARY 21, 2023

12 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2023-07

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
3. STATEMENT OF TASK	5
4. IDENTIFICATION OF POSSIBLE ELEMENTS OF A PLAN TO ACCELERATE BASIC OR CLINICAL NEUROSCIENCE DR. CREASEY DR. PATEL	6 32
{5. IDENTIFICATION OF EXTERNAL EXPERTS TO PROVIDE TESTIMONY AT FUTURE MEETINGS	54
{6. DEVELOPMENT OF TASK FORCE MEETING PLAN AND FUTURE AGENDAS	
{7. CONSIDERATION OF NEXT TASK FORCE MEETING	
8. PUBLIC COMMENT	NONE
9. ADJOURNMENT	63

3

	,
1	TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 21, 2023; 12 P.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO, MARIANNE, IS THIS
4	EVERYBODY WE EXPECT NOW?
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: THERE IS TWO
6	MORE THAT I'M EXPECTING; BUT IF YOU WANT TO PROCEED,
7	WE CAN GO AHEAD.
8	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK WE SHOULD GET
9	GOING.
10	THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR JOINING US THIS
11	MORNING AND THIS AFTERNOON TO TALK ABOUT HOW TO GO
12	ABOUT PLANNING FOR THE ONE AND A HALF BILLION NEURO
13	SET ASIDE. BEFORE WE DO ANYTHING SUBSTANTIVE,
14	MARIANNE, CAN YOU CALL THE ROLL PLEASE.
15	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: SURE. LEONDRA
16	CLARK-HARVEY. MARIA BONNEVILLE.
17	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: PRESENT.
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MARK
19	FISCHER-COLBRIE.
20	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: I SEE HIM
21	CONNECTING TO AUDIO RIGHT NOW. SO YOU MIGHT WANT TO
22	COME BACK TO HIM.
23	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: FRED FISHER.
24	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: HE IS ALSO
25	CONNECTING TO AUDIO.
	$\boldsymbol{\it \Delta}$

1	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: WE'LL COME BACK.
2	JUDY GASSON.
3	DR. GASSON: HERE.
4	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LARRY GOLDSTEIN.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I'M HERE.
6	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAVID HIGGINS.
7	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
8	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: STEVE
9	JUELSGAARD. PAT LEVITT.
10	DR. LEVITT: HERE.
11	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: LAUREN
12	MILLER-ROGEN.
13	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: HERE.
14	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AL ROWLETT.
15	MR. ROWLETT: HERE.
16	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MARVIN SOUTHARD.
17	DR. SOUTHARD: HERE.
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JONATHAN THOMAS.
19	DR. THOMAS: HERE.
20	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: KEITH YAMAMOTO.
21	MARK FISCHER-COLBRIE.
22	DR. FISCHER COLBRIE: HERE.
23	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: FRED FISHER.
24	DR. FISHER: HERE.
25	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AND YOU DO HAVE
	5
	3

1	A QUORUM, LARRY.
2	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GOOD. ALL RIGHT.
3	THANK YOU, EVERYBODY.
4	SO WE POSTED THE AGENDA. THERE WILL BE A
5	SLIGHT CHANGE IN THE ORDER. WE'LL TALK ABOUT
6	EXTERNAL EXPERTS, WHICH IS AGENDA ITEM NO. 5, A
7	LITTLE BIT AFTER TALKING ABOUT HOW WE INTEND TO
8	PROCEED.
9	I WANT TO START US OFF WITH THE MOST
10	ACCURATE STATEMENT OF TASK THAT WE HAVE, WHICH IS
11	I'M JUST GOING TO READ A VERY SHORT SECTION FROM
12	PROP 14 WHICH LAYS OUT WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE
13	THINKING ABOUT TODAY AND IN THE FUTURE. AND SO THE
14	LANGUAGE GOES LIKE THIS: "DEDICATING \$1.5 BILLION
15	FOR THE SUPPORT OF RESEARCH AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF
16	TREATMENTS FOR DISEASES AND CONDITIONS OF THE BRAIN
17	AND CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM, SUCH AS ALZHEIMER'S
18	DISEASE, PARKINSON'S DISEASE, STROKE, DEMENTIA,
19	EPILEPSY, DEPRESSION, BRAIN CANCER, SCHIZOPHRENIA,
20	AUTISM, AND OTHER DISEASES AND CONDITIONS OF THE
21	BRAIN."
22	SO THAT'S A PRETTY BROAD MANDATE. THAT'S
23	BASICALLY ALL OF THE NEURO DISEASES AND CONDITIONS
24	THAT WE'LL NEED TO BE THINKING ABOUT.
25	WHAT I WANT TO DO BEFORE WE START

1	DISCUSSING PLANS IS I'VE ASKED SOME OF THE CIRM TEAM
2	TO ASSEMBLE CURRENT PORTFOLIOS OF WHERE WE ARE BOTH
3	WITH CIRM FUNDING AND WITH INDUSTRY AT LARGE IN
4	CALIFORNIA AND BEYOND SO THAT WE GET AN IDEA OF
5	WHERE MIGHT THERE BE HOLES, WHERE MIGHT WE WANT TO
6	RECOMMEND MORE OR LESS EFFORT FROM CIRM, WHAT HAVE
7	YOU.
8	AND SO THE FIRST PART OF PORTFOLIO REVIEW
9	THAT I'D LIKE TO HAVE US LOOK AT IS A SUMMARY OF
10	CIRM'S FUNDING PORTFOLIO SO WE KNOW WHAT WE HAVE
11	DONE AND WHAT WE HAVE MISSED. AND ABLA IS GOING TO
12	COVER THIS. SHE HAS A POWERPOINT PRESENTATION THAT
13	WAS ALSO POSTED ON THE WEB. ABLA, ARE YOU IN ONE OF
14	THESE GROUPS?
15	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: SHE'S HERE IN
16	THE ROOM, LARRY.
17	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I HAD A MOMENT OF
18	PANIC THERE. OKAY. GREAT. ABLA, TAKE IT AWAY
19	PLEASE.
20	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, LARRY. THANK
21	YOU, EVERYONE. I'M GOING TO PRESENT THE TRAN AND
22	CLINICAL NEURO PORTFOLIO AS AN UPDATE FOR THE TASK
23	FORCE. SO THE PRESENTATION OVERVIEW, WHICH YOU
24	UNDOUBTEDLY HAVE SEEN, JUST COVERS A VARIETY OF
25	TOPICS. CIRM NEUROLOGY CLASSIFICATION GLOSSARY JUST

1	TO PUT US ALL ON THE SAME PAGE. THE CURRENT CIRM
2	TRAN AND CLINICAL NEUROLOGY PORTFOLIO. CIRM
3	NEUROLOGY AWARDS WITH THE PROGRESSION EVENTS
4	DEVELOPMENTS. AND I'LL DESCRIBE THAT IN DETAIL WHEN
5	I SHOW THAT SLIDE. AND NEUROLOGY LANDSCAPE WITH
6	CLINICAL HIGHLIGHTS. ESPECIALLY WHAT'S BEEN
7	APPROVED IN THE AREA OF NEUROLOGY CELL AND GENE
8	THERAPIES. OUR PENDING FDA DECISIONS REGARDING
9	NEUROLOGY DRUGS THAT WE HAVE OBSERVED THROUGH THE
10	FDA WEBSITE AND OTHERWISE. AND THEN NEUROLOGY
11	CLINICAL TRIALS, SHAPING MEDICINE IN 2023 JUST TO
12	GET EVERYONE EXCITED THAT NEUROLOGY CONTINUES TO BE
13	ON THE FOREFRONT. FINALLY, CIRM KEY FINDINGS.
14	SO I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER THE NEUROLOGY
15	CLASSIFICATION GLOSSARY IN DETAIL, JUST TO SHOW YOU
16	THAT WE ARE AWARE THAT THE WHOLE AREA CAN BE
17	CLASSIFIED DIFFERENTLY, BUT THIS IS THE WAY THAT THE
18	DISCOVERY TEAM, TRANSLATION, AND CLINICAL AGREED TO
19	CLASSIFY THE GRANTS THAT WE HAVE BEEN RECEIVING FOR
20	NEURODEGENERATION DISORDERS. AND YOU CAN READ THE
21	DISEASE, SUCH AS ALZHEIMER'S, PARKINSON'S, ET
22	CETERA. THE NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, SUCH AS
23	SCHIZOPHRENIA, SLEEP/WAKE DISORDERS, ET CETERA.
24	AND THEN NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS SUCH
25	AS AUTISM, STEREOTYPIC MOVEMENT DISORDER, ET CETERA.

1	AND THE REST ARE CLEAR. TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURIES,
2	SOME EYE DISEASE, ESPECIALLY THE RETINA AND OPTIC
3	NERVE, DISEASES THAT AFFECT OTHER SENSORY INPUTS,
4	SUCH AS HEARING LOSS. STRUCTURAL DISORDERS SUCH AS
5	SPINAL CORD INJURY. FUNCTIONAL DISORDERS SUCH AS
6	EPILEPSY, DIZZINESS, NEURALGIA. CANCERS OF THE
7	BRAIN THAT AFFECT THE BRAIN AND THE CENTRAL NERVOUS
8	SYSTEM. AND WE HAVE ACTUALLY A WHOLE LIST OF THE
9	BRAIN AND CNS RECORDED IN THE GMS THAT WE HAVE
10	FOLLOWED OVER THE YEARS. BUT, AGAIN, BY NO MEANS WE
11	CAN SAY THAT EVERYTHING ON THIS LIST IS INCLUDED IN
12	OUR GRANTS, AND WE WELCOME INPUT FROM OTHERS IF WE
13	ARE MISSING ANY.
14	SO THE CURRENT TRANSLATION AND CLINICAL
15	PORTFOLIO, WHICH IS PRESENTED ON THIS SLIDE,
16	INCLUDES THE PRE-IND MEETING PREPARATION, WHICH IS
17	IN THE TRAN STAGE; IND-ENABLING, WHICH IS OUR CLIN1,
18	AND EARLY CLINICAL; AND THEN MID AND PIVOTAL-LATE
19	CLINICAL.
20	WHAT I'D LIKE TO ACCENTUATE HERE IS THAT
21	NEURO REPRESENTS 35 PERCENT OF OUR PORTFOLIO IN ALL
22	THESE AREAS, WHICH IS QUITE A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER.
23	SO I'M GOING TO RUN BY YOU ALSO ON THE
24	TRAN AND CLINICAL STAGE AWARDS IN NEUROLOGY IN A
25	LITTLE MORE DETAILS PER THIS PIE CHART. WE START

1	OUT WITH BRAIN CANCER, WHICH COVERS VARIOUS GLIOMAS,
2	INCLUDING GLIOBLASTOMA AND BREAST CANCER BRAIN
3	METASTASES. THEN OCULAR DISEASE, RETINITIS
4	PIGMENTOSA AND AGED-RELATED MACULAR DEGENERATION.
5	THEN WE HAVE ALS. AND YOU CAN TELL BY EACH OF THE
6	CATEGORIES, WE PUT THE NUMBER OF GRANTS THAT ARE IN
7	THAT AREA. FOR EXAMPLE, I WAS SAYING IN BRAIN
8	CANCER, WE HAVE 15 OF THEM. IN OCULAR DISEASE WE
9	HAVE 14. ALS WE HAVE SEVEN. PARKINSON'S DISEASE WE
LO	HAVE SEVEN GRANTS. AND ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE WE HAVE
L1	SIX. MONOGENIC DISEASES WE HAVE SIX, INCLUDING
L2	TAY-SACHS DISEASE, CANAVAN'S DISEASE, FRIEDREICH'S
L3	ATAXIA, AND PITT-HOPKINS DISEASE. HUNTINGTON'S
L4	DISEASE WE HAVE FIVE. AND WE HAVE EPILEPSY TWO.
L5	AND THEN IN NEUROTRAUMA WE ACTUALLY HAVE A LARGE
L6	NUMBER, 16 OF THEM, INCLUDING STROKE, SPINAL CORD
L7	INJURY. AGAIN, AS I POINTED OUT IN THE GLOSSARY OR
L8	CLASSIFICATION, TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY, NEONATAL
L9	BRAIN HYPOXIA, AND SPINA BIFIDA.
20	THIS SLIDE ALSO CLARIFIES THE GRANTS BASED
21	ON NUMBER OF NEURO AWARDS IN TRAN VERSUS CLIN1 AND
22	CLIN2. AND A TYPICAL IN THE THERAPEUTIC AREA IS THE
23	GRANTS WE RECEIVE ARE DEPENDENT REALLY ON THE
24	ADVANCEMENT OF THE SCIENCE IN THAT AREA. SO IN THE
25	TRAN AREA, WE HAVE HAD OVER 25 GRANTS INCLUDED.

1	CLIN1 WE HAVE LESS, AND THEN IN CLIN2, WHICH IS
2	CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE, WE ARE CLOSER TO THE NUMBER
3	THAT WE SEE IN TRAN. AND SOME OF THESE GRANTS, AS
4	I'LL SHOW YOU LATER, HAVE PROGRESSED FROM TRAN TO
5	CLIN1 TO CLIN 2.
6	SO THE ACTIVE TRAN AND CLIN STAGE AWARDS
7	CURRENTLY IN NEUROLOGY INCLUDE SEVEN IN OCULAR, SIX
8	IN BRAIN CANCER, SIX IN NEUROTRAUMA, TWO IN ALS, TWO
9	PARKINSON'S DISEASE, ONE HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE, AND
10	ONE EPILEPSY. THE TOTAL AWARDS ARE 25. AND, AGAIN,
11	THE PREVIOUS SLIDES I SHOWED THE CLOSED AND ACTIVE.
12	NOW IT'S MAINLY ACTIVE.
13	ALSO, THE NEUROLOGY AWARDS BY STAGE AND
14	INDICATION ARE SHOWN ON THIS SLIDE. YOU CAN TELL
15	SO THE TRAN ARE IN THE ORANGE COLOR, CLIN1 IS IN THE
16	LIGHT BLUE, AND THE CLIN2 IS IN THE DARK BLUE.
17	WE'RE AIMING TO MOVE AS MANY OF THESE
18	PROGRAMS FROM THE TRAN STAGE, THE TRAN COLOR, TO
19	CLINICAL TRIALS. AND YOU SEE HERE THAT, AGAIN, WE
20	HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER THAT ARE BRAIN CANCER, IN
21	OCULAR MORE IN CLIN2 AND TRAN THAN CLIN1, AS I
22	SHOWED YOU BEFORE. INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH, IN ALS WE
23	HAVE MORE IN CLIN2 THAN IN TRAN. HUNTINGTON'S
24	DISEASE, EQUIVALENT, MEANING TRAN, CLIN1, AND CLIN2.
25	THEN WITH ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, WE MAINLY HAVE TRAN.

1	PARKINSON'S WE HAVE EQUAL NUMBER IN CLIN1 AND CLIN2.
2	I JUST WANTED TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO
3	THE NEUROTRAUMA AREA WHERE WE HAVE A LARGE NUMBER IN
4	TRAN AND A GOOD NUMBER IN CLIN2 AND A SMALLER NUMBER
5	IN CLIN1.
6	PROCEEDED TO SHARE WITH YOU THE ACTUAL
7	ACTIVE NEUROLOGY TRIALS THAT ARE PHASE 1. AS OUR
8	MISSION SPEAKS TOWARDS ADVANCING PROGRAMS TOWARDS
9	POTENTIAL APPROVAL, IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE RECOGNIZE
10	HOW MANY OF THOSE PROGRAMS ARE IN PHASE 1 TRIAL AND
11	WHERE THEY'RE HEADING TO PHASE 2 OR 3.
12	SO THIS SLIDE SHOWS THAT REALLY, AGAIN, WE
13	HAVE 11 OF THOSE PHASE 1 TRIALS IN DIFFERENT DISEASE
14	INDICATIONS RANGING FROM ALS TO, AGAIN, GBM TO
15	PEDIATRIC GLIOMA, STROKE, ET CETERA. AND YOU CAN
16	FOLLOW, SOME OF THOSE PHASE 1 TRIALS ARE AT
17	CEDARS-SINAI WHICH HAS ONE OF OUR NEWEST ALPHA
18	CLINICS, UC DAVIS, CITY OF HOPE WITH AT LEAST THREE
19	OF THEM.
20	AND THE LAST ONE YOU SEE HERE IS RETINITIS
21	PIGMENTOSA, WHICH IS, AGAIN, BEING CONDUCTED THE
22	TRIAL IS BEING DONE ALSO AT CEDARS-SINAI.
23	THE NEUROLOGY TRIALS IN PHASE 2 AND 3 ARE
24	QUITE SMALL. SO MEANING THERE'S A SMALL NUMBER OF
25	THEM. THERE ARE TWO OPHTHALMOLOGY ONES, AND BOTH OF

1	THEM ARE COMPLETED AND CLOSED. AND THEN THERE'S ONE
2	IN PHASE 3, WHICH WAS ALSO COMPLETED AND CLOSED.
3	THEY WERE ALL RUN AT UCI AS THE ALPHA CLINIC.
4	WHAT WE WANTED TO GET YOU TO SEE IS THE
5	FACT THAT MANY OF OUR GRANTS ACTUALLY START OUT IN
6	DISC, GO TO TRAN, THEN TO CLIN1, THEN TO CLIN2.
7	AND THIS IS A TRIBUTE TO THE FACT THAT THESE
8	SCIENTISTS AND CLINICIANS CONTINUE TO ADVANCE THEIR
9	PROGRAMS WITH OUR DOLLARS AND ENTHUSIASM FOR KEEPING
10	THESE PROGRAMS GOING.
11	SO WE HAVE HERE A TON OF THEM THAT ARE
12	MOVING FROM DISC TO CLIN2 OR HAVE MOVED TO CLIN2.
13	SOME MAY HAVE HAD ALREADY, LIKE DR. KLASSEN HAS HAD
14	TWO CLIN2S ALREADY IN FOR THE SAME INDICATION.
15	SO THIS CONCLUDES WHAT THE CIRM PORTFOLIO
16	LOOKS LIKE, BUT I WANTED TO SHOW YOU THE APPROVED
17	NEUROLOGY CELL AND GENE THERAPIES PER THE OUTLINE I
18	DESCRIBED EARLIER. THERE ARE DRUGS THAT ARE ON THE
19	MARKET ALREADY FOR LUXTURNA FOR LEBER CONGENITAL
20	AMAUROSIS, WHICH IS A RETINAL DISEASE, THAT WAS
21	APPROVED IN 2017. ACTUALLY SPARK THERAPEUTICS LED
22	THE WHOLE AREA OF LEBER CONGENITAL AMAUROSIS, WHICH
23	IS A FORM OF RETINITIS PIGMENTOSA. AND IT HAS
24	UNIQUE FEATURES IN THE SENSE THEY GOT THE DRUG
25	APPROVED BASED ON THE PATIENT'S NEED FOR EYESIGHT IN

1	THE DARK. AND THEY WERE THEN ABLE TO MANEUVER A
2	MOBILITY TEST IN ORDER TO ADVANCE THE PROGRAM
3	FORWARD TO APPROVAL. AND THAT WAS THE KEY ENDPOINT
4	THAT WAS USED IN GETTING THAT PROGRAM APPROVED.
5	SO ZOLGENSMA IS FOR SMA, WHICH IS SPINAL
6	MUSCULAR ATROPHY. IT WAS APPROVED IN 2019. AND I'M
7	SURE YOU'VE OFTEN SEEN PHOTOS OF THE LITTLE GIRL
8	RUNNING AROUND WHO'S BEEN TREATED WITH THIS GENE
9	THERAPY MODALITY AND HAS DONE VERY WELL AS A POSTER
10	CHILD OF THAT EFFORT.
11	THEN WE HAVE LIBMELDY, WHICH IS AN EX VIVO
12	HASPC GENE THERAPY FOR METACHROMATIC LEUKODYSTROPHY,
13	AND THAT WAS APPROVED IN 2020. IT WAS APPROVED IN
14	THE EU, BUT U.S. FILING IS PLANNED FOR THIS YEAR.
15	UPSTAZA FOR AROMATIC L-AMINO ACID
16	DECARBOXYLASE DEFICIENCY, WHICH WAS APPROVED IN
17	2022. THERE'S A COUPLE MORE THAT I JUST READ ABOUT,
18	BUT THIS GIVES YOU A FEELING FOR THE FIELD IS
19	ADVANCING AND ADVANCING WELL WITH A NUMBER OF
20	INDICATIONS. BUT SOME OF THESE, IF NOT MOST OF
21	THESE, ARE RARE DISEASE INDICATIONS. THAT'S
22	IMPORTANT TO HIGHLIGHT BECAUSE RARE DISEASES ARE
23	LEADING THE WAY AS EITHER MONOGENIC DISEASES AND
24	POSTER CHILDS FOR GENE THERAPY MODALITIES. SO IT'S
25	EASIER TO IDENTIFY WHETHER THE TRIAL ACTUALLY WAS

1	SUCCESSFUL OR NOT BASED ON THE OUTCOME OF THE STUDY
2	AND THE ENDPOINTS THAT ARE USED. AND THEY HAVE A
3	RELATIVELY SMALL NUMBER OF PATIENTS. AND ALSO FDA
4	HAS BEEN ADVANCING MANY OF THOSE PROGRAMS MUCH MORE
5	EASILY USING THE ACCELERATED APPROVAL PATHWAY,
6	ESPECIALLY IF THEY HAVE ANY KIND OF A BIOMARKER.
7	THERE ARE FIVE PENDING FDA DECISIONS IN
8	NEUROLOGY FOR 2023. THE ONE THAT I HIGHLIGHTED IS
9	THE FRIEDRICH ATAXIA, WHICH IS THE FIRST TREATMENT
10	FOR FRIEDRICH ATAXIA, WHICH IS, AGAIN, A RARE
11	DEGENERATIVE NEUROMUSCULAR DISORDER. THE RETT
12	SYNDROME DRUG FOR, AGAIN, A RARE GENETIC DISORDER OF
13	BRAIN DEVELOPMENT. THEN THE SOD1 ALS FOR BIOGEN,
14	WHICH IS FIRST TARGETED FOR THERAPY FOR SOD1
15	MEDIATED ALS. THEN PARKINSON'S DISEASE WITH AMNEAL
16	PHARMACEUTICALS. AGAIN, THE FEATURE THAT'S VERY
17	EXCITING ABOUT THIS DRUG IS THE CARBIDOPA/LEVODOPA
18	COMBINATION, WHICH IS THE FORMULATION THAT MADE THIS
19	DRUG POSSIBLE, WHICH IS AN EXTENDED RELEASE CAPSULE.
20	SO THE DELIVERY MECHANISM, THE FORMULATION MADE THE
21	DRUG A SUCCESS.
22	AND THEN THE CERVICAL DYSTONIA, WHICH IS
23	NOVEL BOTULINUM TOXIN TYPE A FORMULATION. THIS IS,
24	AGAIN, FOR CERVICAL DYSTONIA WITH A PDUFA DATE OF
25	AUGUST 19, 2023. AND THIS SPEAKS TO A THEME THAT

1	LARRY HAS TALKED TO US ABOUT IN THE PAST, WHICH IS
2	REPURPOSING DRUGS IN ORDER TO ACHIEVE A NEW
3	INDICATION AND SUCCESS FOR TREATING PATIENTS WHO
4	HAVE UNMET MEDICAL NEED.
5	I'M NOT GOING TO GO OVER THIS LAST SLIDE,
6	BUT I WANT TO CUE YOU TO BE AWARE THAT PER NATURE
7	ARTICLE, THERE ARE 11 CLINICAL TRIALS THAT WILL
8	SHAPE MEDICINE IN 2023 AND MAINLY HIGHLIGHT TWO OF
9	THEM. PARKINSON'S DISEASE, POTENTIAL APPROVAL FOR A
10	DRUG CALLED EXENATIDE. AND THIS IS EXCITING IF IT
11	GETS APPROVED. AND THEN ALSO EISAI/BIOGEN ANTIBODY
12	FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE. AND THERE IT'S ON THE
13	ACCELERATED APPROVAL PATH.
14	AND SO WITH THAT, I THINK I WILL CONCLUDE
15	BY OUR KEY FINDINGS, MEANING THAT, AGAIN TO
16	REITERATE, NEURO COMPOSES ABOUT 35 PERCENT OF THE
17	TRANSLATION AND CLINICAL PORTFOLIO. ALL ACTIVE
18	NEURO TRIALS, 11 OUT OF 11, ARE IN PHASE 1 EARLY
19	CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT. SEVERAL ARE IN RARE DISEASES,
20	AND THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH MY EARLIER STATEMENT AND
21	CONSISTENT WITH THE FIELD. WITH THAT, I'LL STOP AND
22	HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN ANY QUESTIONS.
23	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO THANK YOU VERY
24	MUCH, ABLA. THAT'S EXTREMELY INFORMATIVE.
25	BEFORE WE OPEN IT UP GENERALLY, I JUST

1	WANT TO KICK OFF THE QUESTIONS WITH SO I KNOW THAT
2	YOU ARE, AND PERHAPS OTHER CIRM TEAM MEMBERS,
3	PURSUING WHAT'S SOMETIMES CALLED A HUNTING STRATEGY.
4	COULD YOU JUST EXPLAIN THAT BRIEFLY?
5	DR. CREASEY: OF COURSE. SO ACTUALLY WE
6	CREATED SLIDES. TOO BAD I DON'T HAVE THEM READY
7	HERE. LET ME EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT HUNTING MEANS.
8	HUNTING MEANS THAT IS ACTUALLY COMPOSED OF
9	SEVERAL FEATURES. ONE IS WE READ THE LITERATURE.
10	WE ATTEND MEETINGS. PEOPLE APPROACH US. WE DISCUSS
11	AMONGST OURSELVES, MEANING THE WHOLE CIRM TEAM, WHO
12	TO TALK TO AND HOW TO APPROACH THEM. WE ACTUALLY
13	ALSO GO AFTER WHERE WE THINK THERE'S AN UNMET
14	MEDICAL NEED THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN APPROACHED BY.
15	AND SO WE CONTACT CLINICIANS, ACADEMICIANS,
16	PHYSICIAN SCIENTISTS AND INVITE THEM TO APPLY.
17	SO IT IS NOT A HUNTING IS THE OPPOSITE
18	OF PASSIVE. WE ACTUALLY GO AFTER IT IN MULTIPLE
19	WAYS, AGAIN, WITH KEEPING IN MIND THE NEED FOR
20	ADVANCING OUR PORTFOLIO AND KEEPING US IN THE KNOW
21	REGARDING ALL THE NEW SCIENCE, WHETHER IT'S
22	TECHNOLOGY OR AREAS OF UNMET MEDICAL NEED.
23	TECHNOLOGIES OFTEN EXCITE US. AND SO, FOR
24	EXAMPLE, THE UNMET NEED, DURING THE COVID PANDEMIC,
25	WE HAD SOME APPROACH US FOR POTENTIAL GRANTS, AND WE

1	ALSO APPROACHED OTHERS FOR POTENTIAL GRANTS. AND SO
2	THAT'S HOW WE ENDED UP WITH A COVID PORTFOLIO. AND
3	SO THAT'S JUST ONE SIMPLE EXAMPLE.
4	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU,
5	ABLA.
6	THE REASON I WANTED TO JUST HIGHLIGHT THAT
7	IS, TO THE BEST OF CIRM'S ABILITY AND PERHAPS OUR
8	ABILITY AS A BOARD, WE DON'T THINK THAT THERE ARE
9	GREAT PROJECTS HIDING AROUND CALIFORNIA THAT WE
10	DON'T KNOW ABOUT AND THAT SHOULD BE FUNDED. IT'S
11	ALWAYS POSSIBLE, OF COURSE, BUT I THINK THE CIRM
12	TEAM HAS REALLY DONE A GOOD JOB TRYING TO ROOT THOSE
13	OUT AND WORK WITH THEM.
14	SO LET ME OPEN IT UP TO QUESTIONS FROM THE
15	GROUP BEFORE WE GO TO SHYAM. PLEASE RAISE YOUR
16	HAND. J.T., GO AHEAD.
17	DR. THOMAS: SO, ABLA, JUST TO FOLLOW UP
18	ON LARRY'S QUESTION. I HAD MENTIONED AN UPDATE FOR
19	THE BOARD ON THE HUNTING PROCESS, AND I THINK THAT'S
20	GOING TO BE ON THE MARCH AGENDA; IS THAT CORRECT?
21	DR. CREASEY: YES. YES. WE ACTUALLY ARE
22	PREPARING SLIDES.
23	DR. THOMAS: GREAT. THANK YOU.
24	MY SECOND QUESTION IS, AND YOU MAY HAVE
25	MENTIONED THIS AND I COULD HAVE MISSED IT IN YOUR

1	PRESENTATION. THANK YOU FOR THAT PRESENTATION, BY
2	THE WAY. VERY HELPFUL. THERE WAS THE ONE SLIDE
3	THAT HAD PROGRESSION EVENTS SHOWING EARLY FUNDING
4	THAT'S PROGRESSED TO CLIN1 OR CLIN2. HAVE WE HAD
5	EARLY FUNDING THAT WE DIDN'T SEE PROGRESS, BUT
6	OTHERS HAVE FUNDED SUBSEQUENTLY IN LATER STAGES OF
7	RESEARCH?
8	DR. CREASEY: THE SIMPLE ANSWER IS YES.
9	SOME HAVE ELECTED NOT TO COME BACK TO US. SOME,
10	LIKE, APPLIED TO NIH OR OTHER NONPROFIT
11	ORGANIZATIONS, ET CETERA. BUT WE HAVE HAD SOME THAT
12	WENT AWAY AND CAME BACK. WE HAVE NOT CAPTURED THIS
13	IN THE PROGRESSION EVENTS SLIDE.
14	DR. THOMAS: IS THERE ANY WAY TO THERE
15	MAY BE SOME THAT WE FUNDED EARLY AND WENT AWAY AND
16	HAVE NOT COME BACK, WHICH I THINK YOU ALLUDED TO.
17	IS THERE ANY WAY TO GET SORT OF UPDATED DATA ON
18	THOSE?
19	DR. CREASEY: SURE. NO PROBLEM.
20	DR. THOMAS: THANK YOU.
21	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: MARV.
22	DR. SOUTHARD: SO I WAS WONDERING IF THERE
23	MIGHT BE SOME KIND OF PREHUNTING ACTIVITY BECAUSE AS
24	A MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION SPECIALIST ADVOCATE,
25	IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE THERE ARE ANY PARTICULAR
	10

1	PROJECTS IN THE SCHIZOPHRENIA, BIPOLAR DISORDER,
2	ADDICTION AREA AT ALL. AND IF YOU'RE ALREADY
3	LOOKING AT POSSIBLE THINGS THAT ARE IN DEVELOPMENT,
4	MAYBE THERE'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO DO TO SEED
5	DEVELOPMENT.
6	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, MARV, FOR THE
7	QUESTION. I JUST WOULD LIKE TO HIGHLIGHT THAT ONE
8	OF OUR REQUIREMENTS, FOR THE PROJECTS THAT APPLY,
9	THERE'S AN INVOLVEMENT WITH STEM CELLS IF IT IS A
10	SMALL MOLECULE OR A LARGE MOLECULE. AND ALSO THEN
11	IF IT WERE A GENE THERAPY FOR A KNOWN, LIKE IF
12	THERE'S ONE SINGLE GENE INVOLVED, LET'S SAY, FOR
13	AUTISM, THEY COULD EASILY HAVE APPLIED OR WE WOULD
14	HAVE GONE AFTER THEM. SO IT'S A COMBINATION OF WHAT
15	WE CONSIDER ELIGIBLE AND ALSO WHETHER THE CLINICAL
16	TRIALS ARE AMENABLE TO OR THE RESEARCH IS AMENABLE
17	TOWARDS GENE THERAPY OR AN RNA THERAPY, ET CETERA.
18	DR. SOUTHARD: OBVIOUSLY WHATEVER WE'RE
19	GOING TO BE DOING IS GOING TO BE DOING SOMETHING
20	WITH SOME STEM CELLS. BUT, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU
21	GOOGLE STEM CELL TREATMENT FOR ADDICTION AND YOU
22	LOOKED AT WHAT COMES UP, THERE'S NOT MUCH, BUT WHAT
23	DOES COME UP IS SOMETHING THAT SAYS THERE ARE
24	PROJECTS UNDER DEVELOPMENT, BUT THEY ARE PROBABLY
25	NOT GOING TO REACH ANY FULFILLMENT FOR FIVE TO TEN

1	YEARS. SO GET INTO RECOVERY NOW. IT WAS FROM A
2	RECOVERY PLACE.
3	SO OBVIOUSLY I DON'T KNOW AS MUCH YOU ALL
4	DO ON THESE THINGS, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF WE
5	ARE LOOKING, IF WE ARE WANTING THINGS IN
6	SCHIZOPHRENIA, BIPOLAR DISORDER, AND ADDICTION,
7	WHICH I THINK WE ARE, HOW DO WE BEGIN TO APPROACH
8	THAT, I GUESS, IS MY QUESTION.
9	DR. CREASEY: VERY IMPORTANT QUESTION.
10	AND WE ACTUALLY ARE DISCUSSING IT OURSELVES, AND
11	WE'D LOVE GUIDANCE FROM YOU GUYS AND GALS. WHAT'S
12	IMPORTANT HERE, THOUGH, IS TO POINT OUT, AND I'M
13	SPEAKING NOW OUT OF I DO NOT RUN THE DISCOVERY
14	PROGRAM. MY COLLEAGUE ROSA DOES. AND MY
15	RECOLLECTION IS WE HAVE SEVERAL, FOR EXAMPLE,
16	PROGRAMS IN DISCOVERY THAT ADDRESS, LIKE, AUTISM.
17	AND SO WE ARE STARTING WE HAVE BEEN GATHERING
18	SOME OF THOSE, BUT NOT NECESSARILY ANY OF THEM HAVE
19	MOVED ON OUR OWN WATCH TOWARDS TRANSLATION AND
20	CLINICAL YET.
21	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: MARV, I'LL JUST NOTE
22	THAT THIS HAS BEEN A TOPIC THAT THERE'S BEEN QUITE A
23	BIT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT BETWEEN ME AND MEMBERS OF
24	THE CIRM TEAM AND FOLKS ON THE OUTSIDE. AND WE WILL
25	ABSOLUTELY BE RETURNING TO THIS ISSUE BECAUSE IT'S

1	AN IMPORTANT ONE.
2	OKAY. FRED, YOU'RE UP.
3	DR. FISHER: THANKS SO MUCH. I THINK THE
4	PRESENTATION HELPS GIVE US A REALLY GOOD BASELINE IN
5	TERMS OF WHERE WE ARE TODAY AND WILL INFORM
6	CONVERSATIONS ABOUT WHERE WE ARE GOING.
7	QUICK QUESTION. I APOLOGIZE IN ADVANCE IF
8	I MISSED IT. IS MS, I DON'T RECALL HEARING IT OR
9	SEEING IT ON THE LIST. AGAIN, APOLOGIES IF I MISSED
10	IT. IS MS PART OF THIS?
11	DR. CREASEY: WE HAVE NOT HAD ANY MS
12	GRANTS IN TRAN AND CLINICAL.
13	DR. FISHER: BUT IT IS MS GRANTS THAT
14	ARE GENE OR STEM CELL THERAPY FOCUSED WOULD BE
15	CONSIDERED NEURO GRANTS?
16	DR. CANET-AVILES: YES, OF COURSE.
17	DR. MILLAN: AND THEY'RE ELIGIBLE.
18	DR. FISHER: GREAT. THANKS. I JUST
19	DIDN'T SEE IT LISTED SPECIFICALLY ANYWHERE AND
20	WANTED TO BE CLEAR ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.
21	DR. CREASEY: AGAIN, FRED, WHAT'S
22	IMPORTANT, WHAT I SAID IS THAT OUR GLOSSARY IS MAYBE
23	INCOMPLETE. AND WE WILL ADD TO IT AS WE ARE
24	PROGRESSING WITH THE TASK FORCE.
25	DR. CANET-AVILES: JUST TO ADD, IN

1	DISCOVERY WE HAVE FIVE AWARDS THAT HAVE BEEN IN
2	PORTFOLIO, BUT WE DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE ANY. SO WE
3	WILL GO INTO THIS PART OF THE PORTFOLIO AT ANOTHER
4	TIME WHEN DR. GOLDSTEIN MENTIONS IT.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU.
6	MARIA BONNEVILLE.
7	VICE CHAIR BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. CAN
8	YOU SPEAK TO THE HUNTING A LITTLE MORE? I KNOW YOU
9	ARE GOING TO GIVE A PRESENTATION AT THE BOARD, BUT I
10	THINK IT'S IMPORTANT IN THIS CONTEXT TO TALK ABOUT
11	HOW THE PROJECTS HAVE BEEN COMING. AND JUST TO
12	CLARIFY, TO DATE THERE HAS NOT BEEN A DIRECTIVE TO
13	THE TEAM TO LOOK STRICTLY FOR NEURO PROJECTS,
14	CORRECT? IT HAS JUST BEEN THE PROMISING PROJECTS IN
15	CALIFORNIA AND HOW DO WE BRING THEM INTO THE FOLD
16	VERSUS A GROUP LIKE THIS WHERE THE BOARD COULD
17	DIRECT YOU AND THE TEAM TO GO SPECIFICALLY FOR
18	NEURO, SOME SORT OF NEURO, WHETHER IT'S NEUROPSYCHE
19	OR NEURODEGENERATIVE, IF THAT WERE THE DIRECTION
20	MOVING FORWARD.
21	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU, MARIA. THE
22	INSTRUCTIONS FOR TRAN AND CLIN TEAMS ARE TO GO AFTER
23	NEURO INDICATIONS FOR THE PAST AT LEAST YEAR WHERE
24	WE MADE THAT THE HIGHEST PRIORITY IN IDENTIFYING
25	NEURO PROGRAMS WITHIN THE STATE AND OUTSIDE THE

1	STATE THAT WOULD COME APPLY. AND SO THAT'S ALREADY
2	ON OUR RADAR.
3	WE, IN FACT, THE TEAM, WE MEET FOR OUR
4	HUNTING. WE PRIORITIZE NEURO AS THE FIRST
5	TOUCHPOINTS WE'VE MADE IN NEURO PROGRAMS. AND WE
6	DISCUSS HOW BEST TO APPROACH ONE COMPANY VERSUS
7	ANOTHER OR ACADEMIC INSTITUTION VERSUS ANOTHER. SO
8	I THINK THAT PRIORITIZATION OF NEURO IS ON OUR MIND.
9	IN FACT, IT'S BEEN ON OUR MIND SINCE THE PROPOSITION
10	PASSED. IT'S A MATTER OF THE AVAILABILITY OF
11	INVESTIGATORS IN THE STATE THAT ARE WILLING TO
12	APPLY.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU. PAT.
14	DR. LEVITT: THANKS VERY MUCH. THAT WAS
15	GREAT. THE PROPOSITION IS CONTEXT FOR THE
16	PROPOSITION AND THE MANDATE IS THE CONTEXT IS
17	DOLLARS. AND SO I'M WONDERING I HAVE A MUCH
18	BETTER SENSE NOW OF THE NUMBER OF PROJECTS, THE KIND
19	OF PROJECTS, THE PERCENTAGE ON THAT PIE CHART.
20	WHERE DO THOSE PROJECTS SIT IN TERMS OF THE FRACTION
21	OF THE 1.5 BILLION THAT IS LISTED SPECIFICALLY IN
22	THE PROPOSITION, WHICH WOULD GIVE US AN ADDITIONAL
23	INFORMATION ABOUT, NOT JUST THE SCIENTIFIC GAPS THAT
24	PEOPLE HAVE BEEN BRINGING UP, BUT ALSO THE FINANCIAL
25	GAPS AND CHALLENGES?

1	DR. CREASEY: I THINK DR. GOLDSTEIN
2	RECEIVED THAT ANSWER JUST A FEW MINUTES AGO. YOU
3	WANT TO PROVIDE IT?
4	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: ARE YOU REFERRING TO
5	THE TABULATION FOR DISC DOLLARS THAT YOU GAVE ME, OR
6	DID THAT INCLUDE NEURO SORRY.
7	DR. CREASEY: I CAN SAY I THINK IT'S 30
8	PERCENT OF THE R&D BUDGET HAS BEEN SPENT ON NEURO,
9	PROPOSITION 14 R&D BUDGET.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: IT'S \$129 MILLION.
11	DR. CREASEY: THE ACTUAL NUMBER IS 129
12	MILLION.
13	DR. LEVITT: SO 129 MILLION, 30 PERCENT
14	HAS BEEN SPENT SO FAR ON R&D OUT OF THE MANDATE FOR
15	1.5 BILLION, RIGHT?
16	DR. CANET-AVILES: CORRECT.
17	DR. LEVITT: THANK YOU.
18	SO I DON'T KNOW THE DETAILS OF THIS.
19	THERE'S CLEARLY A LOT OF IPS CELL WORK AND ORGANOID
20	WORK, ET CETERA WE HAD A MEETING LIKE MAYBE IT
21	WAS OVER A YEAR AGO. I CAN'T REMEMBER ANYMORE
22	WHERE A NUMBER OF SCIENTISTS IN CALIFORNIA WHO
23	TALKED ABOUT THAT KIND OF WORK. I ASSUME I KNOW
24	THAT THAT'S ON YOUR RADAR, BUT IS ANY OF THAT WORK
25	IN THAT PORTFOLIO IN THE DISC PORTFOLIO OR THE
	25

1	TRANSLATIONAL PORTFOLIO?
2	DR. CANET-AVILES: IT IS. THE IPS WORK
3	WOULD BE CONSIDERED PART OF THE EARLIER PART OF OUR
4	PORTFOLIO, AND WE WILL BE BEGINNING TO GET MORE
5	GRANULARITY IN THE FUTURE ABOUT THIS DEFINITELY.
6	DR. LEVITT: AND THEN MAYBE ONE OTHER
7	JUST SORRY, LARRY. ONE OTHER, I DON'T KNOW THE
8	DETAILS OF THIS. HOW DO RESEARCH INVESTIGATORS
9	OUTSIDE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT KNOW THEY'RE
10	DOING WORK THAT'S ELIGIBLE FOR CIRM FUNDING, HOW DO
11	THEY FIND OUT ABOUT THAT? OR HOW ARE YOU ALL
12	THINKING ABOUT THE BEST WAY OF I UNDERSTAND THE
13	HUNT COMPONENT, WHICH CAN BE VERY SUCCESSFUL, BUT
14	HOW DO RESEARCHERS OR INVESTIGATORS IN GENERAL FIND
15	OUT, IF THEY'RE OUTSIDE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
16	ABOUT THEIR ELIGIBILITY TO BE ABLE TO APPLY FOR CIRM
17	FUNDING?
18	DR. CREASEY: ALL SCIENTISTS AT CIRM ARE
19	EQUIPPED WITH ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA. AND IN
20	COLLABORATION WITH BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, WE REACH
21	OUT TO PEOPLE OUTSIDE THE STATE. AND, IN FACT, AS
22	PER OUR MISSION, WE CAN FUND GLOBALLY. IN FACT, ONE
23	OF OUR GRANTS CAME FROM THE GRANTEE WAS FROM
24	ISRAEL. AND SO WE CONSTANTLY REACH OUT TO
25	SCIENTISTS AND CLINICIANS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY WHO
	26

1	HAVE EITHER PUBLISHED A RECENT PAPER OR WE HAPPEN TO
2	MEET THEM AT A CONFERENCE, AND WE SHARE WITH THEM
3	THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA. WHEN IT COMES TO DISC AND
4	TRAN, ONLY CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA CAN APPLY. BUT
5	WHEN IT COMES TO CLIN1 AND CLIN2, THOSE CAN BE
6	APPLICANTS FROM OUTSIDE THE STATE CAN APPLY.
7	SO THAT'S WHAT WE TALK ABOUT. CLIN1,
8	WHICH IS IND-ENABLING STUDIES, AND THEN CLIN2, WHICH
9	IS CONDUCTING THE TRIAL, WE FUND FOR OUTSIDE THE
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
11	DR. LEVITT: SO ARE ANNOUNCEMENTS SENT TO,
12	FOR EXAMPLE, UNIVERSITIES, DIRECTORS OF RESEARCH OR
13	FREESTANDING RESEARCH INSTITUTES? DO THEY RECEIVE
14	THEM AND THEN ARE ABLE TO DISTRIBUTE THEM? I KNOW
15	THE INDIVIDUAL INVESTIGATOR CONTACT IS HELPFUL, AND
16	THAT'S PART OF THE HUNTING PROCESS, BUT FOR BROADER
17	DISTRIBUTION WITH THE ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA NOTED. I
18	DON'T KNOW IF YOU REGULARLY DO THAT. SO THERE'S
19	VICE PRESIDENTS FOR RESEARCH AT UNIVERSITIES, ET
20	CETERA, OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA OR WORLDWIDE BASED ON
21	THE TYPES OF GRANTS.
22	DR. CREASEY: THANK YOU FOR BRINGING THAT
23	UP. WE ACTUALLY HAVE RECENTLY STARTED SOMETHING
24	LIKE THAT. IN FACT, ONE OF OUR RECENT RECRUITS
25	RECOMMENDED WHY NOT CREATE EVEN PAMPHLETS THAT GO

1	WITH THE LETTERS THAT THEY CAN PUT ON THEIR BULLETIN
2	BOARDS AND HAVE THEM SHARED WITH THEIR FACULTY
3	MEMBERS.
4	DR. LEVITT: YEAH. THERE ARE PEOPLE ON
5	THIS CALL HAVE THAT. WHEN I RECEIVE SOMETHING IN MY
6	OFFICE AS CHIEF SCIENTIFIC OFFICER, I HAVE AN
7	ELECTRONIC BULLETIN BOARD. I DON'T KNOW IF WE HAVE
8	ANY BULLETIN BOARDS ANYMORE. BUT THAT BEING SAID,
9	THEN THE DISTRIBUTION IS TO SEVERAL HUNDRED
10	INVESTIGATORS. I THINK THAT WILL HELP BECAUSE WE
11	HAVE THE PORTFOLIO IS IMPRESSIVE MORE THAN I HAD
12	THOUGHT, BUT WE'RE GOING TO NEED A WIDER REACH,
13	PARTICULARLY IN AREAS IN WHICH WE HAVE LITTLE TO NO
14	ACTIVITY. AND THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN MENTIONED.
15	THANK YOU, LARRY. THANKS VERY MUCH.
16	DR. CREASEY: WE HAVE NO SHORTAGE OF
17	GRANTS BEING SUBMITTED. WE HAVE, IN GENERAL, FOR
18	CLIN1 AND CLIN2, WE GET NOW SEVERAL A MONTH SINCE WE
19	HAVE THE 12 MONTHS A YEAR THAT THEY CAN APPLY. AND
20	WHAT IS INTERESTING IS THAT WE NEED TO MAYBE THE
21	CLIN2 EITHER THROUGH OUR HUNTING OR PASSIVELY. AND
22	SO IF WE WANT TO HAVE DIFFERENT TYPE OF APPLICANTS,
23	THEN MAYBE THAT WOULD BE A DIFFERENT DISCUSSION AT
24	SOME POINT WITH THE TASK FORCE.
25	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: ABLA, I HAVE TO
	20

1	CONFESS I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED. I THOUGHT THAT THE
2	PROPOSITION LIMITED FUNDING TO PROJECTS IN
3	CALIFORNIA EXCLUSIVELY WITH A LOOPHOLE FOR
4	COLLABORATIONS. WHAT AM I MISSING HERE?
5	DR. CREASEY: FOR CLIN1 AND CLIN2, WE FUND
6	OUTSIDE THE STATE. AM I RIGHT? YES. OUTSIDE THE
7	STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
8	DR. CANET-AVILES: IT'S FOR EVERYTHING
9	MINUS CLIN1, CLIN2.
10	DR. MILLAN: PROVIDED THAT THE FUNDS ARE
11	EXPENDED IN CALIFORNIA.
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THERE WE GO. OKAY.
13	SO THE DOLLARS HAVE TO STAY IN CALIFORNIA FOR
14	PROJECTS THAT HAVE A PI OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA; IS
15	THAT CORRECT?
16	DR. CREASEY: FUNDS HAVE TO BE EXPENDED IN
17	CALIFORNIA BASED ON THE ACTIVITIES THEY DO IN
18	CALIFORNIA. FOR EXAMPLE, THEY CAN MANUFACTURE IN
19	CALIFORNIA. THEY CAN DO THEIR TOXICOLOGY STUDY IN
20	CALIFORNIA. AND THAT'S WHAT WE REQUIRE FOR THE
21	IND-ENABLING STUDIES. BUT FOR CLINICAL, THEY HAVE
22	TO HAVE A PI IN CALIFORNIA WITH A CLINICAL SITE THAT
23	ARE GOING TO ENROLL PATIENTS FOR THE CLINICAL TRIALS
24	EVEN THOUGH THE APPLICANT, LET'S SAY, IS FROM NEW
25	YORK.

1	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. SO IT'S NOT
2	EVERYWHERE IN THE WORLD EASILY WE CAN FUND. THERE
3	REALLY HAS TO BE A CENTER OF ACTIVITY IN CALIFORNIA
4	TO BE ELIGIBLE.
5	DR. CREASEY: CORRECT. CORRECT.
6	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. MARK
7	FISCHER-COLBRIE.
8	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: COUPLE QUICK
9	QUESTIONS FOR HISTORICAL REFERENCE. HAVE WE EVER
10	DONE REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS AND/OR ENSORTIA MEETING
11	AROUND SPECIFIC TOPICS RELATED TO LOOK AT HUNTING
12	FOR ELEMENTS IN A DIFFERENT WAY? JUST CURIOUS ABOUT
13	THOSE TWO MODALITIES.
14	DR. CREASEY: I'VE BEEN HERE SINCE 2016,
15	MEANING AT CIRM. WE HAVE NOT DONE THAT. WE'VE
16	TALKED ABOUT IT AT LEAST A COUPLE OF TIMES. MARIA,
17	YOU WANT TO SAY. HAVE WE DONE IT?
18	DR. MILLAN: TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE,
19	AND I THINK GIL SAMBRANO WHO WOULD HAVE PROBABLY
20	BEEN HERE, J.T., WE HAVEN'T HAD A THEME-SPECIFIC
21	CALL, LIKE A MOONSHOT OR THEMATIC, BUT THAT IS
22	SOMETHING THAT IS IN THE STRATEGIC PLAN AS AN AREA
23	THAT WE CAN GO TOWARD TO HAVE A CONSORTIUM APPROACH,
24	BUT WE HAVE NOT YET DONE THAT TO DATE.
25	DR. THOMAS: TO MY KNOWLEDGE, I AGREE WITH
	30

1	THAT STATEMENT.
2	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: GREAT. THANK YOU.
3	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, MARK.
4	J.T.
5	DR. THOMAS: ABLA, ONE OTHER HUNTING
6	RELATED QUESTION. A TYPICAL FACT PATTERN WOULD BE A
7	COMPANY OUTSIDE OF CALIFORNIA WANTS TO HAVE ONE OF
8	ITS TRIAL SITES IN CALIFORNIA AND APPLIES WITH
9	RESPECT TO THE COST ATTACHED TO THAT. DO YOU KNOW
10	IF THE ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS HUNT THEMSELVES? DO
11	THEY GO OUT TRYING TO SOLICIT COMPANIES FROM OUTSIDE
12	OF CALIFORNIA TO COME TO CALIFORNIA TO DO PART OF
13	THEIR CLINICAL TRIALS HERE SUCH THAT AND USE AS
14	POTENTIAL BAIT FOR THAT, IF YOU WILL, THAT THERE'S
15	THE POSSIBILITY OF APPLYING FOR CIRM FUNDING FOR
16	THAT COMPONENT? DO WE KNOW IF THAT SORT OF THING
17	HAPPENS?
18	DR. CREASEY: I THINK IT HAPPENS
19	FREQUENTLY ACTUALLY. IT'S A WAY TO ENHANCE
20	COLLABORATION. AND I CAN THINK OF AT LEAST A COUPLE
21	OF EXAMPLES THAT THAT'S THE CASE. FOR EXAMPLE, I
22	DON'T WANT TO SPEAK ABOUT THE PROJECTS, BUT AT LEAST
23	I CAN CITE A COUPLE AT SOME POINT, YEAH.
24	DR. MILLAN: AND THE OTHER PART OF THAT IS
25	TRUE AS WELL, J.T. MANY INDUSTRY PARTNERS ARE

1	ACADEMIC INVESTIGATORS WHO ARE VERY INTERESTED IN
2	BEING ABLE TO COLLABORATE WITH CALIFORNIA
3	INVESTIGATORS OR MOTIVATED TO DO SO BECAUSE OF THE
4	POTENTIAL FUNDING OF THAT COLLABORATION.
5	DR. THOMAS: SURE, ABSOLUTELY. I WAS JUST
6	CURIOUS ABOUT THE OPPOSITE. YEAH BECAUSE THAT'S
7	I WOULD THINK THAT WOULD BE A GOOD RECRUITING TOOL
8	TO GET COMPANIES TO COME TO CALIFORNIA WITH RESPECT
9	TO PARTICULAR SITES OR WHATEVER FOR THE INSTITUTIONS
10	IN QUESTION.
11	DR. LEVITT: J.T., THERE'S A DATA
12	PLATFORM, TRINETX SOME PEOPLE MAY BE FAMILIAR
13	WITH THAT WHERE ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS THAT
14	ARE DEIDENTIFIED AT INSTITUTIONS ARE AVAILABLE FOR
15	COMPANIES TO SEARCH THROUGH. WE GET CONTACTED ALL
16	THE TIME, THAT THEY CAN SEE HOW MANY PATIENTS ARE AT
17	CHLA WITH A CERTAIN IDC CODE. THESE ARE PHARM AND
18	BIOTECH COMPANIES THAT ARE INTERESTED IN DOING
19	CLINICAL TRIALS. AND THEY'RE INTERESTED TO FIGURE
20	OUT WHETHER THEY WANT TO DO IT AT YOUR PARTICULAR
21	SITE. I'M SURE THERE ARE OTHER INSTITUTIONS IN
22	CALIFORNIA, I KNOW THERE ARE OTHER INSTITUTIONS IN
23	CALIFORNIA THAT HAVE THE SAME THING. THEIR
24	ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORDS ARE INGESTED INTO TRINETX
25	AND THEN THEY'RE SEARCHED.

1	THE THING THAT'S MISSING IS THE CONNECTION
2	WITH THE COMPANY RECOGNIZING THAT FOR CERTAIN KINDS
3	OF TRIALS THEY CAN GET SUPPORT FROM CIRM BECAUSE IT
4	WOULD BE THEY WOULD FULFILL THE REQUIREMENTS.
5	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GREAT. I'M
6	SURE WE'LL ABLE TO RETURN TO SOME OF THESE ISSUES
7	LATER ON IN THIS CALL AND IN SUBSEQUENT CALLS.
8	BUT THE OTHER PART OF THE PORTFOLIO IS
9	WHAT'S HAPPENING IN CALIFORNIA INDUSTRY AND BEYOND.
10	SHYAM HAS PUT TOGETHER A PRESENTATION. IF SHYAM IS
11	READY, PLEASE GRAB THE SCREEN AND GO AHEAD.
12	DR. PATEL: THANK YOU, DR. GOLDSTEIN. I'M
13	GOING TO SHARE MY POWERPOINT DECK. I HOPE YOU CAN
14	HEAR ME OKAY.
15	THE REPORTER: BETH WOULD LIKE FOR THE
16	VOLUME TO BE UP A LITTLE BIT. IT'S A LITTLE BIT
17	HARDER TO HEAR YOU FOR ME.
18	DR. PATEL: I CAN PROJECT. CAN YOU HEAR
19	ME NOW, BETH?
20	THE REPORTER: THAT'S BETTER. THANK YOU.
21	DR. PATEL: SO I'M GOING TO PRESENT
22	CERTAIN SNAPSHOTS FOCUSING ON CELL AND GENE THERAPY
23	DEVELOPMENT IN NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. SO THIS IS
24	BY NO MEANS MEANT TO BE COMPREHENSIVE, BUT I WANTED
25	TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF CERTAIN AREAS THAT WE'VE BEEN

1	TRACKING HERE AT CIRM.
2	SO I'M GOING TO SKIP THE MISSION SLIDE.
3	AND I'M GOING TO GET TO WHAT WE'LL BE FOCUSING ON.
4	SO I'LL TALK ABOUT INITIALLY WHAT THE PARTNERING
5	STATUS OF THE COMPANIES THAT WE FUND IS. I HAVE
6	SOME EXAMPLES OF THOSE IN HERE. AND THEN CELL
7	THERAPIES FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE, CELL THERAPIES
8	FOR GLIOBLASTOMA, A SNAPSHOT OF THESE PARTICULAR
9	FIELDS IN THOSE DISEASES.
10	AS ABLA MENTIONED, GIVEN THE SUCCESS OF
11	LUXTURNA AND ZOLGENSMA, THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL
12	COMPANIES THAT HAVE BEEN LAUNCHED FOR AAV GENE
13	THERAPIES FOR MONOGENIC NEURO DISORDERS. I'LL
14	DISCUSS THAT A LITTLE BIT AS WELL. LASTLY, WAYS
15	THAT INDUSTRIES ARE PARTICIPATING IN NONPROFIT GENE
16	THERAPY DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS.
17	SO I'LL START OFF FIRST, AND THIS IS A BIG
18	TABLE, BUT I'LL START OFF FIRST WITH HOW THE
19	COMPANIES ARE IN OUR PORTFOLIO, WHAT STAGE THEY'RE
20	AT, WHAT SORT OF INDICATIONS THEY'RE TARGETING, AND
21	THEN HOW THEY'RE FUNDED. SO WHAT THIS TABLE IS
22	MEANT TO SHOW YOU IS THAT WE ARE FUNDING THESE
23	COMPANIES ARE BEING FUNDED BY CIRM THAT ARE ACROSS
24	ALL STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT FROM DISCOVERY THROUGH
25	PIVOTAL TRIALS. WE'VE SEEN AN UPTICK OF COMPANIES

1	APPLYING TO AND GETTING FUNDED BY CIRM OVER THE LAST
2	COUPLE YEARS IN PARTICULAR.
3	SO THESE COMPANIES ARE ACROSS THE WHOLE
4	PORTFOLIO. THEY'RE DEVELOPING CELL AND GENE
5	THERAPIES ACROSS DIFFERENT TECHNOLOGY PLATFORMS FOR
6	VARIOUS DIFFERENT INDICATIONS AS SHOWN ON THIS
7	TABLE. THIS IS A COMPLEMENT TO THE INFORMATION THAT
8	ABLA WAS PRESENTING.
9	AND THEN THE FUNDING STATUS OF THESE
10	COMPANIES. THE LATER STAGE COMPANIES OBVIOUSLY HAVE
11	MORE VENTURE CAPITAL FUNDING OR PUBLIC MARKET
12	FUNDING. AND THE EARLIER STAGE COMPANIES, THE
13	DISCOVERY STAGE COMPANIES, ARE ALL EITHER GRANT OR
14	SEED STAGE COMPANIES. THEY RAISE SMALL AMOUNTS OF
15	MONEY THROUGH GRANTS AS WELL AS THROUGH SEED STAGE
16	FUNDING.
17	SO ONE AREA WHERE THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF
18	ACTIVE INDUSTRY SUPPORT HAS BEEN IN THIS SPECIFIC
19	CASE OF USING PLURIPOTENT STEM CELL-DERIVED
20	PROGENITOR CELLS FOR PARKINSON'S DISEASE. AND SO
21	HERE THERE'S BEEN A NUMBER OF THERAPIES THAT HAVE
22	GONE INTO THE CLINIC, AND ALMOST ALL OF THEM HAVE
23	SOME SORT OF INDUSTRY BACKING. SO THE MOST
24	PROMINENT ONES ARE THE ONES OF DR. LORENZ STUDER AND
25	DR. MALIN PARMAR. BOTH OF THOSE ARE IN THE CLINIC,

1	AND THEY'RE ACTUALLY SUPPORTED BY BAYER ON THE
2	STUDER PROJECT AND THEN NOVA NORDISK ON THE PARMAR
3	PROJECT.
4	SO ON THE STUDER PROJECT, THIS WAS A
5	COMPANY SPUN OUT TO DO THIS, BLUEROCK THERAPEUTICS A
6	FEW YEARS AGO. IT WAS FOUNDED BY BAYER AND VERSANT
7	VENTURES, AND THEN BLUEROCK GOT FULLY ACQUIRED BY
8	BAYER IN 2019. AND THIS TRIAL CONTINUES TO BE
9	SUPPORTED BY BAYER AND BLUEROCK, WHO OPERATES
10	INDEPENDENTLY.
11	SIMILARLY, IN 2018 NOVA NORDISK STRUCK A
12	PARTNERSHIP WITH LUND UNIVERSITY FOR THEIR EMBRYONIC
13	STEM CELL-DERIVED PROGENITOR CELL THERAPY. AND THIS
14	IS IN CLINICAL TRIALS NOW, AND IT WAS INITIATED LATE
15	LAST YEAR, AND NOVA NORDISK IS FUNDING THAT TRIAL.
16	THE INTERESTING PART OF THIS IS THAT NEURO
17	COMPANIES, SUCH AS ASPEN NEUROSCIENCE AND RYNE BIO,
18	ARE CONTINUING TO GET ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FROM
19	VENTURE CAPITAL AS THEY PROGRESS THEIR THERAPIES
20	INTO THE CLINIC. SO ASPEN NEUROSCIENCE IS A
21	CALIFORNIA COMPANY. THE DISCOVERY STAGE WORK HERE
22	WAS DONE BY DR. JEANNE LORING, AND IT WAS FUNDED BY
23	CIRM. THE COMPANY WAS SPUN OUT A FEW YEARS AGO.
24	AND IN A TOUGH MARKET ENVIRONMENT IN 2022, IT WENT
25	ON TO RAISE CLOSE TO \$150 MILLION IN A SERIES B

1	FINANCING FROM BLUE CHIP INVESTORS. AND THEN ALSO
2	RAISED A DEBT FINANCING ROUND IN 2022 TO HELP SECURE
3	SOME OF THE OPERATIONAL CAPITAL THAT IT NEEDS TO
4	CONTINUE TO PROGRESS TO THE CLINIC.
5	SIMILARLY, RYNE BIO, WHICH IS AN
6	IND-ENABLING COMPANY. THIS WAS RECENTLY FUNDED BY
7	CIRM. IT'S ANOTHER CALIFORNIA COMPANY THAT IS
8	DEVELOPING IPSC-DERIVED NEUROPROGENITOR CELL
9	THERAPY. AND THIS HAS SPUN OUT WITH HELP FROM
10	SAISEI BIO VENTURES AS WELL AS FUJIFILM CDI.
11	JUMPING ON TO GLIOBLASTOMA, HERE IT'S AN
12	INTERESTING TREND WHERE MOST OF THE CLINICAL STAGE
13	CELL-BASED IMMUNOTHERAPIES ARE ACTUALLY BEING
14	SUPPORTED BY ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS OR THE NIH. SO
15	CIRM IS CURRENTLY FUNDING SEVEN CELL THERAPY
16	PROJECTS ACROSS TRAN AND CLIN PORTFOLIO FOR
17	GLIOBLASTOMA. ALL OF THEM ARE AT ACADEMIC CENTERS:
18	CITY OF HOPE, STANFORD, AND UCSF.
19	ONE OF THE CITY OF HOPE PROJECTS WAS
20	LICENSED VERY EARLY ON BY MUSTANG BIO, BUT THE
21	TRIALS ARE BEING SPONSORED BY CITY OF HOPE AT THE
22	MOMENT. EVENTUALLY MUSTANG BIO WILL TAKE THIS
23	PROJECT ON BY COMBINING IT WITH ANOTHER ONCOLYTIC
24	VIRUS THERAPY.
25	SO GIVEN THE FACT THAT MANY OF THESE
	27

1	PROJECTS ARE BEING PROGRESSED IN AN ACADEMIC SETTING
2	THROUGH CLINICAL TRIALS, CIRM IS PARTICIPATING WITH
3	VARIOUS DIFFERENT INVESTORS AND FUNDERS TO FIGURE
4	OUT IF THERE'S A BUSINESS MODEL FOR ADVANCING A
5	PORTFOLIO OF THESE THERAPIES FOR GLIOBLASTOMA
6	THROUGH INDUSTRY SUPPORT.
7	TO FOLLOW ON WHAT ABLA WAS MENTIONING WITH
8	GENE THERAPIES FOR MONOGENIC DISORDERS, AS YOU KNOW,
9	ZOLGENSMA HAS BEEN IN THE MARKET SINCE A FEW YEARS.
10	IT HAS BEEN APPROVED IN 47 COUNTRIES TO DATE. IN
11	OCTOBER OF 2022, THE COMPANY ANNOUNCED THAT THERE
12	WERE TWO PATIENT DEATHS FROM ACUTE LIVER FAILURE.
13	AND THE PRODUCT CONTINUES TO SELL. IT HAS GENERATED
14	\$1.37 BILLION IN REVENUE IN THE LAST FISCAL YEAR.
15	THIS IS MOSTLY THROUGH CREATING THE INCIDENT
16	POPULATION.
17	THERE WAS A RECENT APPROVAL, AS ABLA
18	MENTIONED, OF UPSTAZA, WHICH IS AN AAV GENE THERAPY
19	FOR AADC. THIS WAS APPROVED IN EUROPE.
20	AND IN RECENT YEARS, BECAUSE OF THE
21	SUCCESS OF ZOLGENSMA AND LUXTURNA, THERE HAVE BEEN
22	SEVERAL COMPANIES LAUNCHED A REALLY SIGNIFICANT
23	VENTURE FINANCING AND PUBLIC MARKET FINANCING TO
24	ADVANCE AAV GENE THERAPIES FOR THESE MONOGENIC
25	NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS, PARTICULARLY IN

1	NEUROMETABOLIC FIELDS, IN NEURODEGENERATIVE FIELDS.
2	HOWEVER, IN THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS, SEVERAL OF THE
3	LATE STAGE CLINICAL PROGRAMS HAVE ENCOUNTERED
4	DIFFICULTIES. I'M GOING TO DESCRIBE SOME OF THOSE
5	IN THE NEXT SLIDE BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT AS SIMPLE AS
6	JUST CLINICAL TRIAL ENDPOINTS NOT BEING MET.
7	AND THEN BUILDING ON THAT, IN THE PAST
8	COUPLE YEARS THERE HAS BEEN A PUSH TOWARD DEVELOPING
9	AAV ENGINEERING PLATFORMS TO OVERCOME THE SAFETY AND
10	EFFICACY LIMITATIONS FOR CNS GENE DELIVERY. SEVERAL
11	COMPANIES HAVE BEEN LAUNCHED, AND THERE'S VERY
12	ACTIVE INVESTMENT AND PARTNERSHIP FROM BIOPHARMA
13	INVESTORS, AND I'LL TOUCH ON THAT IN A COUPLE
14	SLIDES.
15	SO TO GET TO THE POINT OF COMPANIES THAT
16	HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING GENE THERAPIES FOR MONOGENIC
17	DISORDERS, SEVERAL OF THEM HAVE BEEN IN LATE STAGE.
18	THIS SLIDE SHOWS YOU A COMPILATION OF SOME OF THESE
19	EXAMPLES. SO LYSOGENE AND SIO GENE THERAPIES WERE
20	BOTH COMPANIES THAT WERE ADVANCING AAV GENE
21	THERAPIES. THEY WERE IN LATE STAGE, AND BOTH OF
22	THOSE COMPANIES ARE CURRENTLY IN BANKRUPTCY
23	PROCEEDINGS.
24	IN THE CASE OF SIO GENE THERAPIES, THIS
25	COMPANY HAD CANCELED ITS PARKINSON'S DISEASE

1	PROGRAM, AND IT'S GM1 AND GM2 PROGRAMS ARE BEING
2	RETURNED TO U MASS.
3	THERE ARE ALSO A COUPLE OF OTHER COMPANIES
4	THAT HAVE LAUNCHED ALONG THAT TIME FRAME. THERE WAS
5	TAYSHA GENE THERAPIES WHICH HAD LAUNCHED EARLY ON
6	WITH SIGNIFICANT VENTURE BACKING, AND IT WENT
7	PUBLIC. AT ONE POINT IT HAD AGGREGATED OVER 20
8	DIFFERENT PROGRAMS, ALL BEING PROGRESSED THROUGH
9	PARTNERSHIP WITH PATIENT GROUPS AS WELL AS NIH AND
10	OTHER FUNDING AGENCIES. AND IT DROPPED MOST OF
11	THOSE AND KEPT GAN AND RETT SYNDROME PROJECTS. IN
12	THE LAST QUARTER OF 2022, IT STRUCK A DEAL WITH
13	ASTELLAS TO GET \$50 MILLION TO ADVANCE THOSE TWO
14	THERAPIES TO CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT, AND IT HAD
15	SEVERAL LEADERSHIP CHANGES. THIS IS A COMPANY
16	THAT'S GOING THROUGH A LOT OF FLUX, AND IT USED THE
17	ASTELLAS FUNDING TO PROGRESS THE THERAPY GOING
18	FORWARD.
19	PREVAIL THERAPEUTICS WAS A COMPANY THAT
20	HAS BEEN ACQUIRED EARLY ON BY LILLY, AND IT IS
21	CONTINUING TO ADVANCE A NUMBER OF GENE THERAPIES
22	INTO THE CLINIC.
23	THE LAST THING I WANT TO POINT OUT,
24	UNIQURE, WHICH IS A COMPANY THAT RECENTLY GOT A BLA
25	FOR HEMGENIX, WHICH IS FOR BLOOD DISORDERS, BUT IT

1	ALSO IS PROGRESSING SEVERAL DIFFERENT NEUROLOGICAL
2	DISORDER CANDIDATES, INCLUDING ONE FOR ALS THAT IT
3	ACQUIRED FROM APIC BIO THAT WENT INTO THE CLINIC.
4	IT'S ACTIVELY RECRUITING NEW PROJECTS AND
5	PROGRESSING ITS OWN PIPELINE. AND, PRESUMABLY,
6	HAVING A COMMERCIAL STAGE PROJECT IS GOING TO
7	BENEFIT THIS COMPANY IN TERMS OF ITS REVENUE.
8	SO AS I MENTIONED, THERE ARE SEVERAL
9	COMPANIES THAT ARE DEVELOPING NEW TECHNOLOGIES FOR
10	AAV. THESE INCLUDE CAPSIDA ENGINEERING AS WELL AS
11	GENETIC ENGINEERING. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT A FEW OF
12	THESE TO SHOW YOU SOME TRENDS.
13	SO A FEW YEARS AGO SEVERAL COMPANIES WERE
14	LAUNCHED TO USE AI-DRIVEN CAPSIDA ENGINEERING
15	TECHNOLOGY. THESE ARE SHAPE THERAPEUTICS AND DYNO
16	ARE TWO EXAMPLES HERE. AS YOU CAN SEE, BOTH WERE
17	PARTNERED WITH ROCHE FOR PRETTY LARGE BIOPHARMA, BIO
18	BUCKS DEALS. THEY'VE ALSO RAISED SIGNIFICANT
19	VENTURE CAPITAL FUNDING IN 2019, 2021 WHERE IT WAS
20	RELATIVELY EASY TO RAISE SUCH CAPITAL.
21	THERE WERE SOME COMPANIES, SUCH AS
22	AFFINIA, APERTURA, THAT ARE TAKING A BROADER
23	APPROACH. NOT ONLY ARE THEY ENGINEERING NEW CAPSID,
24	THEY'RE ALSO ENGINEERING NEW PROMOTERS AND
25	REGULATORY ELEMENTS TO BOTH IMPROVE THE TARGETING AS

1	WELL AS THE EXPRESSION OF THOSE GENES ONCE THEY'RE
2	IN THE CELLS. BOTH OF THOSE COMPANIES HAVE RAISED
3	SIGNIFICANT VENTURE CAPITAL FINANCING IN THE LAST
4	FEW YEARS.
5	THE LAST COMPANY ON THIS LIST IS A VERY
6	FOCUSED APPROACH. IT IS DEVELOPING CAPSIDS THAT
7	PENETRATE THE BLOOD BRAIN BARRIER FOR I.V. DELIVERY
8	OF GENE THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THIS
9	COMPANY HAS GONE ON TO HAVE SIGNIFICANT BIOPHARMA
10	PARTNERSHIPS BECAUSE IT WAS A VERY UNIQUE AND
11	FOCUSED PLATFORM, INCLUDING WITH ABBVIE, CHRISPR
12	THERAPEUTICS, AND PREVAIL, WHICH IS THE LILLY
13	COMPANY MORE RECENTLY.
14	ONE AREA THAT'S SOMEWHAT ENCOURAGING IS
15	THAT THERE A LOT OF DIFFERENT NONPROFIT AS WELL AS
16	CONSORTIA APPROACHES TO DEVELOPING RARE DISEASE GENE
16 17	CONSORTIA APPROACHES TO DEVELOPING RARE DISEASE GENE THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE
17 18	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE
17 18 19	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE
17	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE ACCELERATING MEDICINES PARTNERSHIP, BESPOKE GENE
17 18 19 20	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE ACCELERATING MEDICINES PARTNERSHIP, BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM. IT'S A COLLABORATION BETWEEN
17 18 19 20 21	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE ACCELERATING MEDICINES PARTNERSHIP, BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM. IT'S A COLLABORATION BETWEEN NIH, FDA, AND OVER 20 INDUSTRY PARTNERS TO DEVELOP A
17 18 19 20 21	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE ACCELERATING MEDICINES PARTNERSHIP, BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM. IT'S A COLLABORATION BETWEEN NIH, FDA, AND OVER 20 INDUSTRY PARTNERS TO DEVELOP A BLUE BOOK TO PROGRESS GENE THERAPIES THAT ARE IN
17 18 19 20 21 22	THERAPIES FOR NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS. THERE'S THE AMP BGTC THAT CIRM PARTICIPATES IN. THIS IS THE ACCELERATING MEDICINES PARTNERSHIP, BESPOKE GENE THERAPY CONSORTIUM. IT'S A COLLABORATION BETWEEN NIH, FDA, AND OVER 20 INDUSTRY PARTNERS TO DEVELOP A BLUE BOOK TO PROGRESS GENE THERAPIES THAT ARE IN ULTRA RARE DISEASES FROM PRE-IND THROUGH PHASE 1

1	NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS.
2	SIMILARLY, THERE ARE OTHER GROUPS SUCH AS
3	CURESPG50, COLUMBUS CHILDREN'S FOUNDATION, ODYLIA,
4	AND MILA'S MIRACLE FOUNDATION, ALL OF WHICH ARE
5	DEVELOPING DIFFERENT TYPES OF GENE THERAPIES FOR
6	THESE RARE DISEASES.
7	ONE THING I WANT TO NOTE ON THIS SLIDE IS
8	THAT A LOT OF THESE GROUPS ARE RELYING ON INDUSTRY
9	PARTNERS TO BE ABLE TO PROGRESS THESE BESPOKE
10	THERAPIES THROUGH PRE-IND, IND-ENABLING STUDIES, AS
11	WELL AS CLINICAL TRIALS.
12	A LOT OF THESE INDUSTRY GROUPS ARE
13	PROVIDING THESE SERVICES AS IN-KIND, DISCOUNTED
14	SERVICES, OR THEY'RE PROVIDING SPECIALIZED ACCESS TO
15	THOSE PROJECTS. HERE ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF THOSE.
16	FOR EXAMPLE, CHARLES RIVER LABS, WHICH IS A MAJOR
17	CONTRACT RESEARCH ORGANIZATION AND A MANUFACTURING
18	ORGANIZATION, IS PROVIDING ANIMAL MODELS, TESTING,
19	AND IND SERVICES TO SEVERAL DIFFERENT NONPROFIT
20	GROUPS DEVELOPING THESE RARE DISEASE GENE THERAPIES.
21	SIMILARLY, CONTRACT MANUFACTURING
22	ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS VIRALGEN, WHICH IS OWNED BY
23	BAYER, AND ANDELYN, WHICH WAS SPUN OUT FROM
24	NATIONWIDE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL, ARE ALSO PROVIDING
25	MANUFACTURING SERVICES TO THESE GROUPS. OFTENTIMES

1	IT CAN INCLUDE IN-KIND MANUFACTURING, DISCOUNTED
2	MANUFACTURING, AND SO ON.
3	LASTLY, I WANT TO END ON NOTING THE
4	CHALLENGE FOR DEVELOPING THERAPIES FOR ALZHEIMER'S
5	DISEASE. AND SO THIS WAS A STUDY THAT WAS CONDUCTED
6	SHORTLY AFTER ADUCANUMAB WAS APPROVED, ADUHELM FROM
7	BIOGEN. AND SO IT ESTIMATED THAT THERE WERE ABOUT
8	235 AGENTS FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE TO ENTER INTO
9	CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT BETWEEN 1995 AND 2021. AND OF
10	THOSE, SIX WERE COMMERCIALIZED, INCLUDING
11	ADUCANUMAB. AND SO THEY HAD CALCULATED BASED ON
12	THAT THE FAILURE RATE WAS 95 PERCENT. AND THEN IT
13	WENT ON TO CALCULATE HOW MUCH INDUSTRY FUNDING HAS
14	ACTUALLY GONE INTO THIS BASED ON ESTIMATIONS OF WHAT
15	IT COSTS TO DO PHASE 1, 2, AND 3 CLINICAL TRIALS FOR
16	ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE CANDIDATES. AND IT ESTIMATED
17	ABOUT \$42.5 BILLION IN INDUSTRY FUNDING HAS GONE
18	INTO THIS FIELD SINCE 1995 THROUGH 2021. AND IT
19	LAYS OUT SOME OF THOSE COST STRUCTURES THERE OF
20	PHASE 1 TO PHASE 3 COSTS OUT OF POCKET TO BE \$462
21	MILLION.
22	SO BASED ON THESE CALCULATIONS, THERE WAS
23	A 2014 PAPER THAT ESTIMATED THAT IT TAKES ABOUT 13
24	YEARS AND \$5.7 BILLION TO MARKET AN ALZHEIMER'S
25	DISEASE DRUG CANDIDATE. NOW, I WANT TO BREAK THAT

1	NUMBER DOWN A LITTLE BIT. SO YOU'VE SEEN NUMBERS
2	THAT ARE AROUND 1.5 OR \$2 BILLION OF DRUG
3	DEVELOPMENT COST FOR A PARTICULAR DRUG. SO WHAT
4	THOSE NUMBER BAKE INTO THAT IS THE FACT THAT THERE'S
5	THE OUT-OF-POCKET COSTS OF PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL
6	DEVELOPMENT PLUS FACTORING INTO THE FAILURE RATE AT
7	EACH OF THOSE STAGES, SO PRECLINICAL, PHASE 1, PHASE
8	2, PHASE 3, AND THEN THE COST OF CAPITAL. SO
9	BASICALLY A DISCOUNTED RATE APPLIES TO THAT FOR WHAT
10	IS THE COST OF DEDICATING ALL THAT CAPITAL TO THAT
11	PROJECT FOR THAT NUMBER OF YEARS. THAT'S THE 1.5 TO
12	\$2 BILLION.
13	BECAUSE OF THE FAILURE RATE ASSOCIATED
14	WITH ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, THAT NUMBER SHOOTS UP TO
15	\$5.7 BILLION ESTIMATE FOR THIS PARTICULAR FIELD
16	BECAUSE OF THE LARGE NUMBER OF FAILURE RATES
17	HAPPENING AT PHASE 1, 2, AND 3 CLINICAL TRIALS.
18	ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THIS PAPER
19	HIGHLIGHTS IS THAT THE RATE OF GROWTH IN R&D
20	SPENDING HAS SLOWED IN RECENT YEARS. AND IT HAS A
21	CHART IN THERE SHOWING THAT. I DIDN'T PUT IT ON
22	HERE. BUT MORE RECENTLY THERE HAVEN'T BEEN AS MANY
23	CLINICAL TRIALS IN ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE DRUG
24	CANDIDATE DEVELOPMENT.
25	ONE THING I DO WANT TO NOTE IS THAT THIS

1	DOES NOT INCLUDE LEQEMBI WHICH WAS APPROVED AFTER
2	THE PAPER WAS PUBLISHED.
3	IN SUMMARY, JUST GOING TO SUMMARIZE ALL
4	THE SLIDES I PRESENTED SO FAR. SO FIRST OF ALL, THE
5	BIOTECH COMPANIES THAT CIRM FUNDS IN NEUROLOGY TEND
6	TO SPAN ALL STAGES OF DEVELOPMENT AND FINANCING.
7	AND THEN ON THE REALLY SPECIFIC FIELD OF PLURIPOTENT
8	STEM CELL-BASED CELL THERAPIES FOR PARKINSON'S
9	DISEASE, THERE CONTINUES TO BE STRONG INDUSTRY AND
10	ACADEMIC COLLABORATIONS THAT ARE DRIVING THOSE INTO
11	THE CLINIC.
12	PRECLINICAL AND CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT OF
13	CELL-BASED THERAPIES FOR GLIOBLASTOMA ARE BEING
14	DRIVEN BY ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS, AND WE ARE
15	CURRENTLY FUNDING EIGHT SUCH PROGRAMS.
16	WHILE SOME LATE STAGE AAV GENE THERAPY
17	COMPANIES HAVE FACED RECENT FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES,
18	THE INDUSTRY CONTINUES TO SUPPORT NEW BIOTECHS
19	DEVELOPING ENGINEERING PLATFORMS TO IMPROVE AAV
20	SAFETY AND EFFICACY.
21	AS I MENTIONED, THERE ARE SEVERAL
22	NONPROFIT MODELS THAT ARE PROGRESSING AAV GENE
23	THERAPIES FOR RARE DISEASES TO THE CLINIC. AND
24	THEY'RE DOING SO IN PARTNERSHIP WITH INDUSTRY CRO'S
25	AND CDMO'S.

1	AND THEN LASTLY, OVER 25 YEARS OF
2	INVESTING IN CLINICAL DEVELOPMENT OF ALZHEIMER'S
3	DISEASE TREATMENTS HAS RESULTED IN ONLY SEVEN
4	FDA-APPROVED TREATMENTS TO DATE.
5	SO WITH THAT, I'LL PAUSE MY PRESENTATION.
6	THANK YOU.
7	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
8	SHYAM. THAT WAS INCREDIBLY HELPFUL.
9	SO THIS WAS DESIGNED TO GIVE US A SENSE OF
10	WHAT THE COMMERCIAL AND ACADEMIC LANDSCAPE IN
11	CALIFORNIA IS LIKE INDEPENDENT OF CIRM FUNDING FOR
12	THE MOST PART. THIS WILL HELP US AS WE THINK ABOUT
13	PLANNING. SO QUESTIONS FOR SHYAM. MAYBE I'LL MAKE
14	A COMMENT. SO PREDICTING THE FUTURE IS ALWAYS A
15	DODGY BUSINESS. I'LL COME BACK TO THAT. J.T., GO
16	AHEAD.
17	DR. THOMAS: THANKS VERY MUCH, SHYAM.
18	JUST CURIOUS. THERE'S SOME SORT OF NOTABLE ABSENCES
19	OF COMPANIES DEALING WITH CERTAIN NEUROLOGICAL
20	DISORDERS. MAYBE I MISSED IT, BUT HUNTINGTON'S, FOR
21	EXAMPLE, WHAT ARE THE WHAT'S SORT OF THE INDUSTRY
22	TAKE THESE DAYS ON THE LIKELIHOOD OF GETTING
23	COMPANIES DEALING WITH THOSE NEUROLOGICAL DISORDERS
24	THAT CURRENTLY DON'T HAVE ANY TAKERS BECAUSE OF THE
25	R&D DIFFICULTIES, ET CETERA? WHAT'S PROVING
	47

1	OBVIOUSLY ALZHEIMER'S HAS HAD ALL SORTS OF PROBLEMS.
2	WHAT ELSE IS PROVING TO BE HUGELY PROBLEMATIC AT
3	THIS STAGE OF THE GAME?
4	DR. PATEL: SO I WOULD GO BACK TO THE
5	SLIDE AROUND THE AAV GENE THERAPIES FOR RARE
6	DISEASES. IN THOSE INSTANCES, SEVERAL OF THE
7	COMPANIES THAT I LISTED OFF ON THAT PRESENTATION
8	WERE COMPANIES THAT HAVE PRETTY GOOD CLINICAL DATA
9	AND ARE CONTINUING TO GET POSITIVE SIGNALS IN THEIR
10	TRIALS. AND THEY WERE JUST NOT ABLE TO RAISE
11	ADDITIONAL FUNDING FROM EITHER THE PUBLIC OR PRIVATE
12	CAPITAL MARKETS BECAUSE OF THE COST IT'S GOING TO
13	TAKE TO GET THOSE TO APPROVAL THROUGH THE REGULATORY
14	PATHWAY. SO I THINK THAT'S BEEN ONE OF THE
15	CHALLENGES, THIS SORT OF DYNAMIC OF DOING QUICK
16	TRIALS TO GET THESE THERAPIES TO APPROVAL MAY NOT BE
17	AS QUICK AS WAS ORIGINALLY ESTIMATED A FEW YEARS
18	AGO.
19	I DON'T KNOW IF, ROSA, YOU WANT TO ADD TO
20	THAT.
21	DR. CANET-AVILES: THANK YOU, SHYAM.
22	THERE ARE TWO OTHER ELEMENTS TO CONSIDER. ONE IS
23	THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, FOR ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE, THERE'S
24	ALWAYS BEEN THE PATHWAY; HOWEVER, THERE ARE OTHER
25	MECHANISMS OF DISEASE THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN

1	TARGETING IN THESE THERAPIES. SO THAT'S ONE PART
2	WHERE THERE CAN BE INVESTMENT. AND THE COMPANIES
3	RELY ON EARLY ACADEMIC RESEARCH TO FUND.
4	ANOTHER THING IS THE DEVELOPMENT OF
5	BIOMARKERS, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT HELP US STRATIFY THE
6	POPULATION SO THAT WE CAN HAVE EFFECTIVE CLINICAL
7	TRIALS. SOMETIMES (UNINTELLIGIBLE) BECAUSE WE
8	HAVEN'T ACTUALLY STRATIFIED THE POPULATIONS
9	CORRECTLY.
10	AND ANOTHER PLACE WHERE THERE CAN BE
11	INVESTMENT IS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF DELIVERY
12	MECHANISMS, THE WHOLE DELIVERY TO THE BRAIN. AND
13	TARGETING THE RIGHT CELL TYPES IS ANOTHER PLACE. SO
14	THOSE ARE PLACES WHERE I THINK THERE IS A NICHE FOR
15	CIRM TO INVEST, BUT IT'S MORE EARLIER IN THE PART.
16	AS DR. GOLDSTEIN MENTIONED, THIS IS NOT THE TOPIC OF
17	TODAY.
18	DR. CREASEY: IF I CAN ECHO WHAT ROSA
19	SAID, WHEN IT COMES TO CLINICAL MECHANISMS, NEW
20	TECHNOLOGIES FOR DRUG DELIVERY ARE VERY IMPORTANT IN
21	AREAS WHERE HOW DO YOU REACH TO THE RIGHT CELL AND
22	THE RIGHT PART OF THE BRAIN. AND THAT KIND OF
23	TECHNOLOGY WILL DO WELL FOR US FOR THE PATIENT.
24	FORMULATION OF THE MATERIALS. AGAIN, SO
25	IT'S NOT MECHANISM IS IMPORTANT, KNOWING EXACTLY

1	WHAT CELL IS INVOLVED IN WHAT PART OF THE BRAIN, AND
2	HOW TO GET THE THERAPY THERE. AND THAT WILL WORK
3	WELL WITH ALMOST AND, AGAIN, WITH APPROPRIATE
4	BIOMARKERS, AGAIN, WITH THE STRATIFYING THE
5	PATIENTS. BUT I THINK THE PATH IS TO VALIDATE THE
6	BIOMARKERS AND SHOW THAT THEY ARE ACTUALLY EXISTING
7	IN THE HUMAN POPULATION AND THEN REPEAT THAT CYCLE
8	AND DEMONSTRATE THE VALUE OF THAT KIND OF EVALUATION
9	OF THE WHOLE CIRCLE.
10	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: FRED. PLEASE.
11	DR. FISHER: I APOLOGIZE. I'M FEELING A
12	LITTLE LOST AT THE MOMENT. FORTUNATELY, WE DON'T
13	HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE GYMNASTICS THAT PHARMA GOES
14	THROUGH TO JUSTIFY THE COST OF DEVELOPING DRUGS. WE
15	DON'T ALLOW OUR APPLICANTS TO LOAD IN THE COST OF
16	BUYER FAILURES INTO WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO PUT INTO
17	THEIR CIRM BUDGETS.
18	SO I NEED HELP UNDERSTANDING CONNECTING
19	THE DOTS REALLY BETWEEN WHAT IT IS YOU'RE PRESENTING
20	TO US AND HOW IT HELPS THIS GROUP MOVE FORWARD WITH
21	OUR TASK. AND, AGAIN, I APOLOGIZE IF I'M JUST BEING
22	THICK ABOUT IT, BUT IT'S ALL SEEMING LIKE WAY OFF
23	POINT FOR US TO BE TALKING ABOUT SOME OF THIS STUFF.
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: SO WHY DON'T I HANDLE
25	THAT ONE BECAUSE THAT'S ON ME. WE HAVEN'T DONE AN

1	ADEQUATE JOB EXPLAINING WHY WE'RE GOING THROUGH
2	THIS. I THINK THE REASON IT'S RELEVANT, FRED, IS
3	THAT CIRM DOESN'T EXIST IN A VACUUM. WE ARE
4	SURROUNDED BY ACTIVE FINANCING OF A VARIETY OF
5	COMPANIES DOING RESEARCH IN THE FIELD.
6	I THINK ONE POINT WOULD BE THERE IS A LOT
7	OF MONEY INVESTED FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR CURRENTLY.
8	AND, TWO, IT'S NOT OBVIOUS TO ME, AT LEAST, THAT THE
9	PRIVATE SECTOR PRIORITIES ARE RADICALLY DIFFERENT
10	THAN CIRM PRIORITIES. WHAT IS DIFFERENT IS COST OF
11	CAPITAL AND THE DEGREE OF DIFFICULTY THAT AFFECTS US
12	ALL IN PROGRESSING THERAPIES. SO IT'S NOT THE CASE
13	THAT INDUSTRY SOMEHOW IS INVESTED IN COMPLETELY
14	DIFFERENT AREAS THAN WHAT CIRM IS LOOKING AT. I
15	THINK WE ARE ALL IN A SENSE LOOKING UNDER THE
16	STREETLIGHT WHERE WE THINK THERE ARE CURRENT
17	OPPORTUNITIES. AND I DON'T THINK THAT INDUSTRY HAS
18	FOUND THINGS THAT CIRM HASN'T FOUND AND VICE VERSA.
19	THERE'S NO HIDDEN PORTFOLIO OUT THERE
20	WOULD BE THE POINT, I THINK. I HOPE THAT HELPS YOU.
21	DR. FISHER: WELL, I CERTAINLY AGREE WITH
22	THAT STATEMENT. AND THANK YOU.
23	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. GLAD TO BE OF
24	USE.
25	DR. FISHER: THERE'S NO WORSE PLACE TO PUT
	F-1

1	MONEY IF YOU'RE A DRUG DEVELOPER OTHER THAN
2	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE. IT'S BEEN PROVEN OVER
3	AND OVER. THE FAILURE RATE FOR ALS, FOR EXAMPLE,
4	WHICH I KNOW A LITTLE BIT ABOUT, LIKE YOU'RE ALMOST
5	GUARANTEED TO LOSE YOUR SHIRT PUTTING ANY MONEY INTO
6	ALS THERAPY DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE 99.999 TIMES OUT OF
7	A HUNDRED YOUR PHASE 2 OR PHASE 3 TRIAL WILL FAIL.
8	IT'S JUST THE TRACK RECORD.
9	SO THE FACT THAT CIRM CAN PLAY AN EARLY
10	STAGE DERISKING ROLE, NOT JUST WITH ALS, BUT OTHER
11	DISEASES, IS HUGE LEVERAGE THAT THOSE COMPANIES CAN
12	USE. I THINK WE ALREADY KNOW THAT OURSELVES, AND I
13	THINK WE ALREADY KNOW THAT ABOUT THE SPACES WE ARE
14	WORKING IN. AND I'M GRATEFUL THAT THE VOTERS OF
15	CALIFORNIA PROVIDED THE MONEY TO DO THE WORK TO AS
16	MUCH AS POSSIBLE DERISK THE ENORMOUS COST TO THE
17	PRIVATE SECTOR FOR DEVELOPING THESE DRUGS.
18	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT POINT, FRED.
19	THAT'S VERY HELPFUL.
20	I'D ALSO JUST MENTION IN PASSING RAISING
21	CAPITAL IS MORE DIFFICULT IN THE EARLY STAGES, FOR
22	EXAMPLE, AND WE MAY SEE AN INCREASE IN APPLICATIONS
23	THERE. LAUREN.
24	MS. MILLER-ROGEN: THE ALZHEIMER'S HILL
25	THAT WE'RE ALL CLIMBING IS CERTAINLY STEEP AND FULL

1	OF HEAVY BOULDERS, BUT STILL WE CLIMB. AND I HAD A
2	CALL ACTUALLY EARLIER TODAY WITH PEOPLE FROM THE
3	BILL GATES FOUNDATION, WHO ARE OBVIOUSLY VERY
4	FOCUSED ON ALZHEIMER'S, BUT SPECIFICALLY THOUGHT I
5	WOULD MENTION IT. I DON'T KNOW IF WE'VE CONNECTED
6	WITH THEM ON THEIR WORK, BUT THEY'RE VERY
7	SPECIFICALLY INTERESTED. I'LL JUST READ IT TO MAKE
8	SURE I'M GETTING IT CORRECTLY. THEY FOCUS ON
9	BIOMARKER DEVELOPMENT AND NOVEL DIAGNOSTIC
LO	TECHNOLOGIES THAT WILL AID IN ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE,
L1	YADA, YADA, LIKE BLOOD TESTS, EYE SCANS, DIGITAL
L2	TOOLS, ET CETERA.
L3	SO I WONDERED IF THERE HAD BEEN DISCUSSION
L4	ABOUT POTENTIALLY ANY OF THEIR WORK BEING DONE IN
L5	CALIFORNIA AND COLLABORATIONS ON THAT END BECAUSE
L6	THEY'RE CERTAINLY VERY DEDICATED TO THAT PARTICULAR
L7	DISEASE AND PROGRESS IN THAT.
L8	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: I THINK THAT
L9	DR. CANET-AVILES: CAN I ADD? WE HAD AN
20	INITIAL AS YOU RECALL, LAUREN, WE HAD A WORKSHOP
21	LAST YEAR IN FEBRUARY WHERE WE INVITED MEMBERS FROM
22	THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM CONSORTIUM TO TALK ABOUT
23	WHAT THE FUTURE OF CIRM COULD BE IF WE WERE GOING TO
24	DO COLLABORATIVE DATA, THE STRUCTURE. AND ONE OF
25	THE THINGS THAT THE GATES FOUNDATION HAS BEEN DOING,

1	THEY DEVELOPED THE ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE WORKBENCH,
2	WHICH IS A NEW PLATFORM THAT THEY ARE COLLABORATING
3	WITH DIFFERENT GROUPS TO LEVERAGE DATA TO FURTHER
4	THE DEVELOPMENT OF ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE AND RELATED
5	DEMENTIAS. WE HAD PATRICK BRANNELLY AND SOMEBODY
6	ELSE.
7	SO WE HAVE INTERACTED WITH THEM, AND THESE
8	ACTUALLY COULD BE A GOOD POINT TO BRING UP WHEN WE
9	TALK ABOUT EARLIER DISCOVERY CONSORTIA TYPE AND
10	MULTIDISCIPLINARY THINGS TYPE OF THINKING THAT WE'VE
11	KIND OF MENTIONED BEFORE AND DR. GOLDSTEIN MIGHT
12	BRING AT A LATER STAGE.
13	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: GREAT. THANK YOU
14	VERY MUCH, ROSA. THAT'S HELPFUL.
15	SO I THINK WE ARE, FOR THE MOMENT AT
16	LEAST, ADEQUATELY INFORMED ON BACKGROUND FROM CIRM.
17	I'LL JUST POINT OUT THAT PRIOR TO SEEING THE
18	INFORMATION THAT CIRM HAS ACCUMULATED, I HAD
19	WONDERED WHETHER THERE'S SOME MISSING PART OF
20	NEURODEGENERATION IN PARTICULAR THAT WE WERE
21	MISSING. I DON'T THINK THAT THERE'S ANY EASY WINS
22	THAT I SEE IN NEURODEGENERATION, AT LEAST AT THE
23	MOMENT, BUT THE WORK NEEDS TO CONTINUE.
24	SO THAT BRINGS ME TO THE TOPIC OF HOW
25	SHOULD WE PROCEED AS A TASK FORCE IN OUR PLANNING IN

1	TERMS OF STRUCTURE AND WHERE SHOULD WE FOCUS. MY
2	INITIAL THOUGHT WHEN THE TASK FORCE WAS FIRST
3	CREATED WAS THAT WE SHOULD HOLD A SERIES OF LONG
4	MEETINGS BETWEEN NOW AND THE END OF JUNE SO AS TO
5	DEVELOP A COMPLETE PLAN FOR A BILLION AND A HALF
6	DOLLARS BY THE END OF JUNE FOR THE ICOC TO CONSIDER
7	AND THEN PASS.
8	TWO FACTORS HAVE MADE ME THINK THAT I WAS
9	COMPLETELY WRONG ABOUT THAT APPROACH. ONE IS THE
10	KIND OF INFORMATION THAT ROSA AND SHYAM JUST
11	PRESENTED, WHICH, AS I JUST MENTIONED, I DON'T THINK
12	THAT THERE'S A MISSING PART OF NEURODEGENERATION
13	THAT WE'RE NOT ADEQUATELY INVESTED IN. IF SOMEBODY
14	CAME FORWARD WITH A NEW, INTERESTING PROPOSAL IN
15	THAT AREA, WE, CIRM, WOULD JUMP ON IT.
16	SO I THINK WHAT I WOULD CALL THE FORCED
17	MARCHED PLAN, TO TRY TO GET ALL THE PLANNING DONE BY
18	THE END OF JUNE, IS PROBABLY REALLY NOT WORKABLE
19	GIVEN PEOPLE'S SCHEDULES AND OTHER COMMITMENTS.
20	THE OTHER POSSIBILITY THAT I'VE DISCUSSED
21	WITH SOME FOLKS AT CIRM AND WITH SOME OUTSIDE GROUPS
22	IS, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE CIRM PORTFOLIO AND TO A
23	LESSER EXTENT THE INDUSTRY PORTFOLIO, IT IS CLEAR TO
24	ME, AT LEAST, AND SOME OTHERS, THAT WE ARE NOT
25	ADEQUATELY INVESTED IN NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS.

1	THESE ARE A COLLECTION OF, AS YOU KNOW, DEVASTATING
2	DISORDERS THAT OFTEN HIT EARLY IN LIFE AS IN THE
3	CASE OF SCHIZOPHRENIA AND WHERE THERE'S QUITE A BIT
4	OF EVIDENCE THAT THERE ARE SUBSTANTIAL GENETIC
5	CONTRIBUTIONS TO THOSE DISORDERS. OPIOID USE
6	DISORDERS IS ANOTHER ONE THAT ACTUALLY FITS THAT
7	BILL TO MY SURPRISE THAT I'VE LEARNED ABOUT WHERE
8	THERE IS SIGNIFICANT GENETIC CONTRIBUTIONS.
9	AND THE REASON I BRING THAT UP IS THAT
10	DISEASE MODELING IN STEM CELLS AND THEN PROGRESSION
11	USING STEM CELLS IS QUITE A BIT MORE STRAIGHTFORWARD
12	IN MANY CASES IF THERE ARE SUBSTANTIAL GENETIC
13	CONTRIBUTIONS. NOW, OBVIOUSLY THAT'S NOT TRUE IN
14	THE CASE OF INJURY OR STROKE TO MY KNOWLEDGE. BUT
15	IT LEADS ME TO SUGGEST THAT, RATHER THAN TRYING TO
16	PLAN THE ENTIRE \$1.5 BILLION IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS
17	OR FOUR MONTHS ACTUALLY AT THIS POINT, THAT WE
18	INSTEAD DEVOTE OUR ATTENTION TO AREAS WHERE WE THINK
19	CIRM MAY BE UNDERINVESTED IN AT THE MOMENT AND SEE
20	IF WE CAN DEVELOP A WORKABLE PLAN MOVING FORWARD.
21	AND THEN FOLLOWING THE JUNE ICOC MEETING, WHERE I
22	WOULD HOPE WE WOULD GET APPROVAL OF SUCH A PLAN FOR
23	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS, TO THEN THINK ABOUT
24	TACKLING NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS TO SEE IF
25	THERE'S SOMETHING MISSING, ALTHOUGH I SUSPECT THAT

1	THERE'S NOT A BIG HOLE IN THE CIRM PORTFOLIO.
2	SO THOSE ARE THE TWO MAIN WAYS OF
3	PROCEEDING, I THINK. AND LET'S SEE IF PEOPLE HAVE
4	DISCUSSION POINTS, QUESTIONS, OR COMMENTS ABOUT
5	PROCEEDING WITH THE NOTION OF LET'S TAKE AN AREA
6	WHERE WE ARE UNDERINVEST, SUCH AS NEUROPSYCHIATRIC,
7	DO OUR PLANNING WORK THERE TO START, WHICH WILL BE A
8	PART OF THE ONE AND A HALF BILLION, BUT NOT THE
9	ENTIRE ONE AND A HALF BILLION, AND THEN COME BACK TO
10	SOME OF THESE OTHER AREAS. THOUGHTS? PAT.
11	DR. LEVITT: SO I THINK THE HOLE IS IN
12	LARGE PART DUE TO THE VERY DIFFERENT LEVEL OF
13	CHALLENGE, SCIENTIFIC CHALLENGE, AND COMPLEXITY,
14	HETEROGENEITY, SINGLE GENE DISORDERS THAT IN
15	PSYCHIATRY ARE RARE. AND SO IT'S MULTIGENIC, WHICH
16	MAKES IT REALLY CHALLENGING IN TERMS OF GENE
17	THERAPY. SO PEOPLE ON THIS CALL CAN GO THROUGH A
18	PLETHORA OF WHAT THE CHALLENGES ARE.
19	I DON'T DISAGREE WITH TRYING TO COME UP
20	WITH A PLAN THAT INCLUDES THAT BECAUSE IT'S WOEFULLY
21	UNDERSOURCED NOW. NOT BECAUSE CIRM DOESN'T WANT TO
22	DO IT, BUT BECAUSE THERE'S JUST NOT ENOUGH ACTIVITY
23	THERE THAT RECOGNIZES THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT CIRM
24	PROVIDE, WHETHER IT'S DISCOVERY OR SOMETHING MORE
25	ADVANCED.

1	WHEN YOU SAY WE'RE NOT UNDERRESOURCED IN
2	TERMS OF NEURODEGENERATION, WHEN I HEAR THAT OUR
3	PORTFOLIO'S ABOUT A LITTLE BIT LIKE 10 OR 11 PERCENT
4	OF THE \$1.41 BILLION, AND IT'S MOSTLY FOCUSED ON
5	NEURODEGENERATION, CANCER, AND SOME OCULAR
6	COMPONENTS, THOSE ARE ALL GREAT. I DON'T THINK
7	WE'RE GOING TO MAKE UP THE OTHER 90 PERCENT WITH
8	FOCUSING ON NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. SO I DO
9	THINK THAT HAVING A BROADER PERSPECTIVE AND
10	RECOGNIZING THAT, AND \$1.4 BILLION IS A LOT OF
11	MONEY, AND WE ARE NOT EVEN CLOSE TO THERE YET EVEN
12	WITH THE FOCUS IN AREAS THAT SEEM MORE AMENABLE OR
13	MORE TRACTABLE.
14	SO I THINK I WOULD CAUTION AGAINST BEING
15	REALLY FOCUSED IN AN AREA WHICH EVERYBODY AGREES IS
16	INCREDIBLY DIFFICULT. EVEN AT THE BASIC SCIENCE
17	LEVEL, IT'S INCREDIBLY DIFFICULT.
18	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: FAIR ENOUGH, PAT. I
19	THINK MY POINT WOULD BE, NOT THAT THAT WOULD BE OUR
20	ONLY ACTIVITY INDEFINITELY, BUT WE HAVE TO START
21	SOMEWHERE, I THINK. AND SO TAKING A BITE OUT OF AN
22	AREA WHERE WE'RE UNDERINVESTED HAS STRUCK ME AND
23	SOME OTHERS AS A USEFUL THING TO DO. AL.
24	MR. ROWLETT: CERTAINLY THE AREA OF
25	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS AND POTENTIALLY A STUDY
	NEOROI STEILLATRIC DISORDERS AND TOTENTIALET A STODI

1	THAT MODELS IPSC CELLS AND SCHIZOPHRENIA, WHILE
2	UNDERSTANDING THAT MY HOPE WOULD BE THAT AT SOME
3	POINT CIRM COULD FUND SUCH A STUDY, I RECOGNIZE THAT
4	THERE'S AN EXTRAORDINARILY DIFFICULT LIFT WITH THAT.
5	AND YET, I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT, AS A PATIENT
6	ADVOCATE, WHEN I SPEAK TO THE CITIZENS IN THE AREA
7	OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS THAT I GET TO WORK
8	WITH ALL THE TIME, THAT'S ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THEY
9	WOULD ASK ME. SO WHAT WORK ARE YOU DOING TO ADVANCE
10	STUDIES THAT AMELIORATE OR ADDRESS SCHIZOPHRENIA
11	BECAUSE IT'S SO DEVASTATING?
12	AND THEY CAN ARTICULATE IT VERY PLAINLY
13	AND SIMPLY, THAT SCHIZOPHRENIA HAS A DEVASTATING
14	EFFECT ON PATIENTS, ON FAMILIES, ET CETERA. AND SO
15	I AM ENTHUSIASTICALLY IN SUPPORT OF THAT. AND MAYBE
16	EVEN, AS I THINK ABOUT WHAT MARV SAID ABOUT AN HOUR
17	AGO, DOUBLE DOWNING ON SOME OF HIS NOTIONS ABOUT
18	NEUROPSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS. YES, LARRY, YOU'VE GOT
19	MY ENTHUSIASTIC SUPPORT ABOUT THAT PLAN.
20	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, AL. MARK.
21	YOUR HAND'S NOT UP ANYMORE. DID I MISS SOMETHING?
22	DR. FISCHER-COLBRIE: NO. I PULLED IT
23	BACK. THANK YOU.
24	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: OKAY. SO JUDY.
25	DR. GASSON: SORRY. I WAS GOING TO GO

1	AFTER MARK.
2	I WANT TO ADD TO WHAT AL HAS JUST SAID AND
3	TO FULLY ENDORSE WHAT YOU'VE ARTICULATED, LARRY. I
4	THINK THAT THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT THIS IS GOING TO BE
5	A CHALLENGING UNDERTAKING. BUT I THINK THAT WE HAVE
6	A RESPONSIBILITY, BASED UPON THE VOTERS OF
7	CALIFORNIA APPROVING PROP 14, TO AT LEAST BEGIN A
8	PROCESS WHERE WE CAN START TO TRY TO UNDERSTAND THE
9	GENETIC BASIS OF SOME OF THESE DISORDERS THROUGH
10	MODELING, THROUGH THE DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE COHORTS.
11	I THINK IT ALSO PROVIDES US WITH AN
12	OPPORTUNITY, IF WE LOOK AT WHERE THE DISPARATE
13	BURDEN OF SOME OF THESE DISEASE FALLS, IT PROVIDES
14	US WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO INCORPORATE OUR
15	AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY CHARGE, WHICH IS
16	ALSO LAID OUT IN PROP 14, BY MAKING SURE THAT THE
17	RESEARCH IS NOT DONE SOLELY, AS IT FREQUENTLY HAS
18	BEEN IN THE PAST, USING POPULATIONS OF PRIMARILY
19	EUROPEAN DESCENT, BUT TO LOOK MUCH MORE BROADLY
20	ACROSS CALIFORNIA, WHICH, OF COURSE, SOUTHERN
21	CALIFORNIA HAS THE MOST ETHNICALLY DIVERSE
22	POPULATIONS IN THE COUNTRY. WE LOOK LIKE THE REST
23	OF THE COUNTRY IS GOING TO LOOK EVENTUALLY.
24	SO FOR THOSE TWO REASONS, I'M VERY
25	ENTHUSIASTIC ABOUT YOUR PROPOSAL. THANK YOU.

1	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: THANK YOU, JUDY.
2	FRED.
3	DR. FISHER: SO I'M JUST LEARNING ALL OF
4	THE THINGS THAT GO INTO THE NEURO BUCKET, SO TO
5	SPEAK. AND IT'S A MUCH BIGGER BUCKET THAN I EVER
6	IMAGINED. AS I SAID ON A PRIOR CALL, WHEN YOU LOOK
7	AT THE NUMBER OF INDICATIONS THAT ARE AVAILABLE TO
8	US, WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT A LOT OF MONEY,
9	PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE DATA THAT WAS JUST PROVIDED
10	WHERE IF IT'S GOING TO COST 1 TO 2 BILLION TO
11	DEVELOP A DRUG AND THAT'S BEFORE YOU LOAD IN ALL THE
12	OTHER COSTS THAT PHARMA DOES WHEN THEY TALK ABOUT
13	THIS STUFF. WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO CURE ONE
14	NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE.
15	SO IT RAISES AND THIS IS NOT SO MUCH,
16	LARRY, ABOUT YOUR PROPOSAL IN TERMS OF SHOULD WE
17	LOOK AT THIS THING FIRST OR CREATE A GROUP TO LOOK
18	AT THIS THING FIRST. SORT OF ZOOMING OUT A LITTLE
19	BIT, I'M STILL TRYING TO IMAGINE WHAT OUR PROCESS IS
20	AND HOW WE WEIGHT OR BALANCE SORT OF THE FIELD'S
21	READINESS TO PURSUE NEURO, EVERYTHING THAT IS NOW
22	PART OF THAT DEFINITION. EACH OF THOSE INDICATIONS
23	HAS A FIELD, AND EACH OF THOSE FIELDS HAS A DEGREE
24	OF READINESS TO PURSUE STEM CELL AND GENE THERAPY.
25	AND CIRM CAN CERTAINLY BE A CATALYST FOR EXPLORATION

1	INTO THOSE AREAS. BUT SOME OF THOSE SPACES MAY NOT
2	BE READY. THERE MAY NOT BE THE DISEASE MODELING OR
3	UNDERSTANDING OF THE MECHANISMS SUFFICIENT TO EVEN
4	PURSUE GENE OR STEM CELL-BASED THERAPIES.
5	SO I'M WONDERING IF THERE'S ANY VALUE IN
6	BUILDING THAT INTO THE CALCULUS AROUND HOW WE LOOK
7	AT AND HOW WE PRIORITIZE THE DIFFERENT DISEASE
8	GROUPS OR INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES BASED ON THE
9	READINESS OF THAT DISEASE GROUP TO ACTUALLY PURSUE
10	STEM CELL, GENE-BASED THERAPY DEVELOPMENT.
11	HOPEFULLY THAT MADE A LITTLE SENSE.
12	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: YES, FRED. I THINK
13	IT'S A VERY SENSIBLE COMMENT. IT'S A TOUGH AREA.
14	IT'S GOING TO REQUIRE A LOT MORE MONEY THAN WE HAVE
15	ON OUR OWN. AND SO WE'LL NEED TO FIGURE OUT
16	COLLABORATIONS, OR WE'LL NEED TO FIGURE OUT WHAT CAN
17	WE DO THAT WILL MAKE A DIFFERENCE THAT'S NOT BEING
18	PURSUED BY A LOT OF OTHER GROUPS.
19	NOW, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO WRAP UP SOON,
20	AND I DON'T WANT TO CUT ANYBODY OFF PREMATURELY. WE
21	ALSO NEED SOME PUBLIC COMMENT. SO LET ME PROPOSE
22	THE FOLLOWING. THIS IS AN EXTREMELY IMPORTANT
23	CONVERSATION. I SUGGEST THAT WE PURSUE IT AT THE
24	BEGINNING OF THE NEXT MEETING, BUT ALSO THAT IN THE
25	INTERIM I ARRANGE FOR A COUPLE OF GUEST EXPERTS WHO

1	WORK IN THIS AREA TO COME IN AND EDUCATE US A BIT
2	ABOUT WHERE THINGS STAND AND WHERE WE MIGHT MAKE A
3	DIFFERENCE. SO IF THERE IS NO MAJOR OBJECTION TO
4	PROCEEDING IN THAT WAY, I'D LIKE TO TURN US TO
5	PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN TO ADJOURNMENT.
6	SEEING NO MAJOR OBJECTION, THAT'S GOOD.
7	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I DON'T SEE ANY,
8	LARRY.
9	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: ANYTHING ON PUBLIC
10	COMMENT, MARIANNE? OR IS THAT THE QUESTION YOU'RE
11	ANSWERING?
12	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I DON'T SEE ANY
13	PUBLIC COMMENT.
14	CHAIRMAN GOLDSTEIN: NO PUBLIC COMMENT.
15	OKAY. SO WE HAVE ONE MINUTE UNTIL WE SHOULD BE
16	ADJOURNING. SO WE'LL BEGIN OUR NEXT MEETING WITH A
17	GENERAL DISCUSSION CONTINUING THIS TOPIC, BUT I WILL
18	ALSO ARRANGE FOR A COUPLE OF EXPERTS IN AND AROUND
19	THIS AREA OF NEUROPSYCHIATRIC TO COME IN AND EDUCATE
20	US A BIT.
21	SO I THINK WITH THAT, WE ARE ADJOURNED.
22	
23	
24	
25	

(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 1:30 P.M.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE TASK FORCE ON NEUROSCIENCE AND MEDICINE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON FEBRUARY 21, 2021, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543